# Gastroenterology International

Editor-in-Chief

**A.C. Arun** Velammal Medical College Hospital and Research Institute, Madurai, Tamil Nadu

# National Editorial Advisory Board

Anshuman Kaushal, Artemis Healthcare, Gurgaon D. Viswanath Reddy, Yashoda Hospital, Secunderabad Deepu Rajkamal Selvaraj, GG Super-Speciality Hospitals, Chennai G.N. Yattoo, Sher-i-Kashmir Institute of Medical Sciences (SKIMS), Srinagar Hrushikesh Chaudhari, Asian Institute of Gastroenterology, Hyderabad Joy Varghese, Global Hospitals & Health City, Chennai Kaushal Kishor Prasad, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education & Research, Chandigarh

**M. Suneeel Chakravarty**, Max Superspeciality Hospital, New Delhi

# **Managing Editor**

A. Lal

M. Umadevi, PACE Hospital -Gastro Center Hospitals, Hyderabad Mayank Chugh, Chugh Multispecialty Hospital and Fertility Centre, Bhiwani. P.R. Venugopal, PK Das Institute of Medical Sciences, Palakkad Shravan Kumar Bohra, Apollo Hospitals International, Ahmedabad Sudershan Kapoor, Govt. Medical College, Amritsar T.S. Bala Shanmugam, PSG Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Coimbatore V.G. Mohan Prasad, VGM Hospital, Coimbatore

# **Publication Editor**

Manoj Kumar Singh

All right reserved. The views and opinions expressed are of the authors and not of the **The Gastroenterology International**. The **Gastroenterology International** does not guarantee directly or indirectly the quality or efficacy of any product or service featured in the advertisement in the journal, which are purely commercial.

**Corresponding address** 

Red Flower Publication Pvt. Ltd. 48/41-42 DSIDC, Pocket-II, Mayur Vihar Phase-I Delhi - 110 091(India) Phone: 91-11-22754205/45796900, Fax: 91-11-22754205 E-mail: info@rfppl.co.in, Web:www.rfppl.co.in **The Gastroenterology International (GI) (ISSN: 2456-5458)** is published by Red Flower Publication Pvt. Ltd. and is devoted to publishing timely medical research in gastroenterology and hepatology. GI provides practical and professional support for clinicians dealing with the gastroenterological disorders seen most often in patients. Regular features include articles by leading authorities and reports on the latest treatments for diseases. Original research is organized by clinical and basic-translational content, as well as by alimentary tract, liver, pancreas, and biliary content.

#### Subscription Information

*India* Institutional (1 year): Rs.5500

Rest of the World Insitutional (1 year) USD393

#### Payment methods

Bank draft / cashier & order / check / cheque / demand draft / money order should be in the name of **Red Flower Publication Pvt. Ltd.** payable at **Delhi**.

International Bank transfer / bank wire / electronic funds transfer / money remittance / money wire / telegraphic transfer / telex

- 1. Complete Bank Account No. 604320110000467
- 2. Beneficiary Name (As per Bank Pass Book): Red Flower Publication Pvt. Ltd.
- 3. Address: 41/48, DSIDC, Pocket-II, Mayur Vihar Phase-I, Delhi 110 091(India)
- 4. Bank & Branch Name: Bank of India; Mayur Vihar

5. **Bank Address & Phone Number:** 13/14, Sri Balaji Shop,Pocket II, Mayur Vihar Phase- I, New Delhi - 110091 (India); Tel: 22750372, 22753401. **Email:** mayurvihar.newdelhi@bankofindia.co.in

- 6. MICR Code: 110013045
- 7. Branch Code: 6043
- 8. IFSC Code: BKID0006043 (used for RTGS and NEFT transactions)
- 9. Swift Code: BKIDINBBDOS
- 10. Beneficiary Contact No. & E-mail ID: 91-11-22754205, 45796900, E-mail: sales@rfppl.co.in

# Gastroenterology International

# January - December 2019 Volume 4, Number 1-2

Contents

# Review Article

<b>Cytomegalovirus in Inflammatory Bowel Disease - Clinical Relevance.</b> Viswanath Reddy Donapati, G. R. Srinivas Rao, Y, Rami Reddy	5
Extra Pulmonary Manifestation of COVID 19 i.e., Gastrointestinal Symptoms in COVID 19 Received in OPD/IPD of Chugh Multispecialty Hospital – A single Centric Study. Mayank Chugh, SatenderTanwar	21
Case Report	
Acute biliary peritonitis - a rare case report of isolated gall bladder gangrene secondary to coeliac artery thrombus Shwetha R Chandra, Vinay H G, Ramesh Reddy G, <sup>4</sup> Merin Mary	23
Subject Index	28
Author Index	29
Guidelines for Authors	30

Red Flower Publication Pvt. Ltd.

# CAPTURE YOUR MARKET

For advertising in this journal

Please contact:

International print and online display advertising sales *Advertisement Manager* Phone: 91-11-22756995, 22754205, 45796900, Cell: +91-9821671871 E-mail: sales@rfppl.co.in

# **Recruitment and Classified Advertising**

*Advertisement Manager* Phone: 91-11-22756995, 22754205, 45796900, Cell: +91-9821671871 E-mail: sales@rfppl.co.in

# Cytomegalovirus in Inflammatory Bowel Disease -Clinical Relevance.

<sup>1</sup>Viswanath Reddy Donapati, <sup>2</sup>G. R. Srinivas Rao, <sup>3</sup>Y, Rami Reddy

#### Author Affiliation

<sup>1,2</sup>Consultant Gastroenterologists, Department of Gastroenterology Yashoda Hospital, Secunderabad, Telangana 500003, India

#### **Corresponding Author**

Viswanath Reddy Donapati, Consultant Gastroenterologists, Department of Gastroenterology Yashoda Hospital, Secunderabad, Telangana 500003, India **E-mail:** Viswanathdr@yahoo.com

#### Abstract

*Background:* Cytomegalovirus is a common and self-limiting infection in general population, but in immunocompromised states it has been implicated in severe complications like pneumonia and colitis. It could also be an innocent bystander(coloniser) in colon. In Inflammatory bowel disease there has been an association with severe colitis and flares of disease, with a reported prevalence of 4.5–16.6%, and as high as 25% in patientsrequiring colectomy for severe colitis. The role of CMV in the deterioration of the IBD disease is still debatable. There is also no global consensus in defining this entity. The clinical relevance of the presence of CMV in IBD patients is our question-whether it is a bystander or clinically significant.

*Aim:* To analyse the clinical relevance of Cytomegalovirus in patients with inflammatory bowel disease, including epidemiology, clinical features, diagnosis and management options.

*Methods:* Literature search was made using Pubmed, EMBASE and the Cochrane resources with the search words: CMV in Inflammatory Bowel disease, cytomegalovirus colitis, CMV treatment.

*Results:* Cytomegalovirus infection is common in patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease. CMV disease and CMV reactivation is common in patients with severe colitis, with a prevalence of 4.5–16.6%, and upto 25% in patients with severe colitis requiring colectomy. The clinical outcome of patients with reactivation is poorer compared to those without reactivation. However, 71-86% of patients who underwent treatment with antivirals showed disease remission.

*Conclusions:* From the literature review, we can infer that, testing for CMV should be considered in patients with flare of disease having moderate to severe activity, by doing biopsies for microscopic evidence of CMV activity, Immunohistochemistry, tissue for Real time Polymerase Chain reaction. Ganciclovir treatment showed good results in these group of patients.

*Key Words:* Cytomegalovirus infection, Cytomegalovrus Disease, Inflammatory Bowel Disease, Flare, Severe Colitis, Steroid Refractory Colitis, Clinical relevance.

How to cite this article:

Viswanath Reddy Donapati, G. R. Srinivas Rao, Y Rami Reddy. Cytomegalovirus in Inflammatory Bowel Disease - Clinical Relevance. Gastroenterology International. 2018;3(1-2):5-11.

#### Introduction

Inflammatory bowel disease is a relatively immune compromised state and patients are prone for various infections. One among the infections is Cytomegalovirus related. CMV is usually an asymptomatic infection in healthy adults and may remain as a lifelong latent infection. Cytomegalovirus seroprevalence is noted to be high even in general population in Asia about 70%-90%.1 It can cause serious complications in the immunocompromised individuals presenting clinically as Pneumonia and colitis. It is implicated as one of the cause of flare of IBD disease. The highest prevalence of CMV infection and CMV intestinal disease is noted in steroid refractory disease, for each definition of CMV used. CMV in IBD patients is an important clinical entity associated with high morbidity and mortality.

There is no gold standard definition for clinically relevant CMV infection in IBD patients. CMV infection is defined as isolation of the CMV virus or detection of viral proteins or nucleic acid in any body fluid or tissue specimen

CMV gastrointestinal disease is defined by identification of a combination of

- 1. clinical symptoms
- 2. findings of macroscopic mucosal lesions on endoscopy and
- 3. demonstration of CMV infection (by culture, histopathology, IHC, or in situ hybridization) in a gastrointestinal tract biopsy specimen.

Clinically relevant describes the situation that symptoms appear, clinical deterioration occurs, and (antiviral) treatment should be initiated.

The recent ECCO guideline mentions that different techniques for diagnosis of CMV infection are available, but stops short of defining a gold standard. The guideline refers to histopathology combined with IHC (using monoclonal antibodies) as highly specific and sensitive for verifying CMV infection in tissue. In addition the guideline describes quantitative PCR in tissue and in blood as the most commonly used and advantageous technique for diagnosis of CMV infection.

#### Inclusion Criteria:

There are no prospective randomised control trials on this subject. Hence, observational, case control studies and retrospective studies have been included in the analysis.

#### Outcome assessment

The primary outcomes were: (1) all used definitions of CMV infection or CMV intestinal disease in IBD patients; and (2) the reported prevalence of CMV in IBD patients. Secondary outcomes were: (1) prevalence of CMV in subpopulations as ulcerative colitis (UC), Crohn's disease (CD), steroid refractory disease; and (2) prevalence of CMV in different regions of the world. There is also a summary of different diagnostic strategies for CMV infection in IBD patients.

#### Results

The search strategy generated 194 relevant articles published till now, of which only 52 eligible articles were chosen.

#### **Review of literature:**

#### Epidemiology of CMV:

Domenech et al. reported the prevalence of anti-CMV IgG antibodies in four groups of patients with UC and healthy controls from Spain.<sup>7</sup> The prevalence was similar in the five groups (61–76%). Prior exposure to CMV was, therefore, similar in patients and controls. Yi et al. reported different findings among patients and controls from China: 73% of patients with UC, 89% of those with Crohn's disease (CD) and 51% of controls had anti-CMV IgG antibodies.<sup>8</sup> The prevalence in the control group was much lower than expected and the results may not be generalisable.

If CMV reactivation were associated with a relapse of UC, then the prevalence of serum anti CMV IgM antibodies would be raised. Similarly, molecular techniques such a CMV-specific PCR would show the virus. To date, this has not been the case. Roblin et al. reported 16 patients with CMV colitis, all had serum anti-CMV IgG antibodies but none had anti-CMV IgM antibodies, although three had CMV DNA in their blood.<sup>9</sup> Iida et al. found none of the 79 patients they reported with moderate or severe UC, who were anti CMV IgG antibody positive, had serum IgM antibodies to CMV.<sup>10</sup> Recent exposure to CMV is unlikely to precipitate a relapse of UC and CMV in the intestine does not appear to invoke a systemic IgM antibody response.

In an observational study, CMV genome was detected using PCR on intestinal tissue samples in 32.9% of the inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) patients and only in 2.4% of the controls. The

individuals in the control group were patients who had colonoscopy for other reasons such as anaemia or non-IBD.11 The exact prevalence of CMV disease in IBD patients is not entirely clear; mainly due to selection bias and methods used to diagnose CMV infection, but histological prevalence has been reported between 4.5 and 16.6% (see Table 1).12

Definition	Studies,n	Median	Range
Antigenemia[17-21]	5	32%	6%-34%
TissuePCR[22-25]	4	11%	1%-32%
IHC1[26-29]	4	13%	9%-23%
HE[30-32]	3	17%	5%-36%
HEorIHC[33,34]	2	8%	5%-11%
HEandIHC[35,36]	2	19%	12%-27%
IgMorHEorIHC2[37,38]	2	9%	5%-13%
Antigenemia or Tissue PCR[39]	1	NA	NA
Serum PCR[40]	1	84%	-
(HE and IHC) or tissue PCR[41]	1	4%	-
Tissue PCR 10 copies/ μg; OR histology OR Antigenemia[42]	1	54%	-
Antigenemia (2 tests: C7-HRP OR C10/C11) OR histology[43]	1	9%	-
Antigenemia or blood PCRquantitative[44]	1	36%	-
IgM or serum PCR or HE[45]	1	78%	-
IgM or tissue PCRqualitative or HE[46]	1	16%	-
IgG and (blood culture, antigenemia or histology or IgM or urine culture)[47]	1	6%	-
IgM or serum PCRqualitative or feces PCR[48]	1	5%	-
Inclusions: HE[49]	1	13%	-
Active infection: tissue PCR[50]	1	13%	-
Active replication: (HE or IHC) and antigenemia[51]	1	36%	-
Blood dissemination: (viremia, antigenemia, RNAemia) or (viremia or tissue culture)[52]	1	16%	-
Total:21	Total:36		

Table 1: Prevalencebydefinitionofcytomegalovirus-infection

One study defined a clinically relevant infection as > 10 IHC + cells/section but also recognized

"scattered" positivity as 1-9 cells per section<sup>[29]</sup>; 2Once mentioned as "acute infection". PCR: Polymerase chain reaction; HE: Hematoxylin and eosin staining; IHC: Immunohistochemistry; IgM: Immunoglobulin M; IgG: immunoglobulin G; NA: Not applicable.

Table 2: Prevalence by definition of cytomegalovirus-intestinal disease

Definition	Studies,n	Median	Range
HE or IHC1[38,44,53,54]	4	6%	2%-29%
HE[20,55]	2	9%	0%-17%
Tissue PCRquantitative > 10 copies/mg[56,57]	2	34%	-
Serology and (IHC or antigenemia or serum PCR or tissue PCR)[58]	1	6%	-
IHC[59]	1	0%	-
HE or IHC or tissue PCR[51]	1	33%	-
(Pp65 antigenemia or tissue PCRquantitative) and IHC and intestinal symptoms[39]	1	NA3	-
IHC positive when inflammation present[52]	1	1%	-
Total:8	Total:13		

<sup>1</sup>One study tested both before and after iv-steroid administration; 2No data on prevalence: definition is used as the gold standard to compare other diagnostics;

<sup>3</sup>No prevalence is reported in this study. PCR: Polymerase chain reaction; HE: Hematoxylin and eosin staining; IHC: Immunohistochemistry.

Table 3: Prevalence by definition of cytomegalovirusreactivation

Definition	Studies,n	Median	Range
IgM or HE or PCR1[60]	1	10%	-
Serum PCR in IgG positive patients[61]	1	0%	-
Antigenemia or plasma PCR[62]	1	36%	-
Total:3	Total:3		

1Not specified what material is used for PCR testing. PCR: Polymerase chain reaction; HE: Hematoxylin and eosin staining; IgM: Immunoglobulin M; IgG: Immunoglobulin G

#### Clinical and endoscopic features

Clinical suspicion of CMV viraemia should be directed towards IBD patients presenting with prominent systemic symptoms, especially fever, lymphadenopathy,

splenomegaly, leucopenia and mild hepatitis.<sup>17</sup> However, CMV colitis need not have such features.

Iida et al.<sup>10</sup> reported the endoscopic features of patients with UC and CMV. Patients were subdivided according to the presence of CMV antigen. There were no features that were diagnostic of CMV infection, but 'punched-out ulcers' and 'geographic ulcers' were more common in steroidrefractory patients' with CMV than those without CMV (P = 0.055 and 0.087, for punched-out and geographical ulcers respectively); however, these ulcers were substantially more common in steroidrefractory disease than in patients not receiving steroid therapy suggesting that ulceration was a manifestation of disease severity and not of CMV infection. Suzuki et al.18 found an association between CMV anti-genaemia and longitudinal ulceration; they claimed that such ulceration was 100% sensitive and 95% specific for CMV.

Omiya et al.<sup>19</sup> took a different approach to a series of 20 in-patients being treated for UC: they treated 10 patients with deep ulceration with anti-viral therapy, but gave standard therapy to the others; all the patients on standard therapy responded, but the outcome for those with deep ulceration was less good: three underwent colectomy. They concluded that the absence of deep ulceration was predictive of latent CMV. This is partially true, 5/10 patients with deep ulceration had CMV DNA in mucosal biopsies, while only 2/10 patients without deep ulceration had CMV DNA. The risk of colectomy appeared greater in those with CMV, 2/7 underwent colectomy, compared with 1/13 without CMV. Arguably the CMV, like deep ulceration, was a marker of severe disease.

#### Diagnosis

Latent or subclinical CMV infection has a high prevalence in the population.<sup>1,2</sup> Serum anti-CMV IgG antibodies have high specificity and sensitivity for latent infection, and IgM antibodies for acute infection or reactivation of CMV infection with viraemia, but this does not correlate with active CMV colitis.<sup>20</sup> There is no clinical role for measuring antibodies to CMV unless viraemia is suspected, when qPCR for CMV DNA would be a more useful investigation.

Cytomegalovirus may be found in the colon. Techniques used to demonstrate the virus include haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining, immune histochemistry (IHC) and PCR.<sup>7, 9, 21</sup> Each has been used to attempt to quantify the infection, qPCR being the most appropriate to determine viral load.

Haematoxylin and eosin staining may demonstrate typical CMV inclusions. The hallmark histological feature being cells that are larger than normal with intranuclear inclusions which can be surrounded by clear cytoplasm giving the 'owl's eye' appearance (Figure 1a). Although this method is highly specific (92-100%) the sensitivity can be as low as 10–87%, resulting in false negative biopsies.<sup>22, 23</sup> However, sensitivity may be increased to 78–93% <sup>22, 23</sup> by the use of IHC with antibodies to CMV early antigen.





(a) Haematoxylin and eosin staining of colonic mucosa in CMV disease from a patient with ulcerative colitis demonstrating typical CMV inclusions (arrows). (b) Immune histochemistry of colonic mucosa in CMV disease from a patient with ulcerative colitis demonstrating CMV inclusions (arrows). Courtesy of Professor Fiona Campbell, Royal Liverpool University Teaching Hospital.

Qualitative and quantitative PCR for CMV DNA from colonic tissue is a highly specific (93–98.7%) and sensitive test (92–96.7%).<sup>24, 25</sup> Qualitative PCR can detect viral DNA in the colon, although the significance of a positive result and differentiating between CMV infection and disease is unclear. Quantitative PCR may be more accurate; however, no cut off value has yet been defined. It has been suggested that a CMV DNA load >250 copies/mg of tissue in moderate to active UC is predictive of resistance to steroids, infliximab and cyclosporine.<sup>9</sup>

The European Crohn's and Colitis Organisation recommend tissue PCR or immunohistochemistry for diagnosing CMV colitis in IBD.<sup>26</sup>

#### Monocyte recruitment and CMV

In the colon, CMV is considered to be reactivation of latent infection. In latent disease, CMV resides in mononuclear cells. In the presence of inflammation, there is monocyte recruitment to the colon. Hommes et al.<sup>27</sup> proposed that the cytokine milieu of the colon, rich in TNFa and interferony in active UC, leads to differentiation of CMV-infected monocytes into macrophages with reactivation of the latent disease. It has been argued that the risk of CMV reactivation differs in UC and CD, because the relative amounts of TNF $\alpha$  and interferony favours reactivation in UC more than in CD.20 This is supported by reports showing that CMV colitis is uncommon in CD,<sup>28-30</sup> although others have been less persuasive.<sup>21</sup>

#### Severe colitis and steroid resistance

Kojima et al. reviewed 126 surgical specimens of patients with UC to explore the clinicopathologic features of CMV and concluded that the clinical prevalence of CMV is higher (15% and 25% for HE and IHC respectively) in patients with severe UC undergoing surgery than in those with refractory UC (1.3% and 8.3%, P < 0.05) and much lower in patients with UC-related dysplasia (0% for both HE and IHC).<sup>31</sup> These results suggest that CMV is associated with the severity of colitis rather than its treatment.

There are many case reports which suggest that colonic CMV superinfection in existing IBD causes more symptoms with increased prevalence of toxic megacolon and surgical intervention,<sup>32</sup> but the issue remains controversial. Domenech et al. reported a colectomy in three out of six patients with active steroid-refractory UC and CMV disease, compared with only 2 of 13 steroid-refractory cases without associated CMV disease.<sup>7</sup> A case–controlled study by Kambhm et al.<sup>33</sup> linked unrecognized and therefore untreated CMV infection in steroidrefractory UC with higher surgical intervention risk.

Matsuoka et al.<sup>34</sup> reported the clinical outcomes in 69 patients with moderate to severe UC, who were tested for CMV reactivation every 2 weeks for 8 weeks using the CMV anti-genemia assay and serum quantitative real-time PCR assay for CMV: 48 patients were sero-positive for CMV but the study showed that clinical outcomes including rates of remission and colectomy were not significantly different among the CMV reactivation-positive and negative patients. These data, then, suggest CMV does not influence the course of the relapse.

There have been two recent studies reporting viral load and outcomes. In 2010, Leveque et al.<sup>21</sup> reported no relationship between colonic CMV DNA load and disease severity in seven patients; in five of six cases not treated with anti-viral therapy, immunosuppression was increased and resulted in clinical improvement. A year later, Roblin et

al.<sup>9</sup> reported that there was no correlation between detection of CMV DNA and the Mayo endoscopic score; furthermore the 'threshold of CMV load did not influence the results'. However, Roblin et al. did find a relationship between CMV DNA load and clinical outcome: a positive colonic CMV load was associated with steroid resistance (Likelihood ratio 3, sensitivity 50% and specificity 100%); CMV DNA >250 copies/mg tissue was predictive of resistance to steroids and two immunosuppressives (Likelihood ratio 4.3, sensitivity 100% and specificity 66.6%). They recruited 42 consecutive patients exhibiting moderate to severe flare ups of UC in a prospective observational study. All patients underwent a colonoscopy or flexible sigmoidoscopy <24 h after inclusion and colonic biopsies were taken to measure CMV DNA viral load by real-time PCR. CMV DNA was detected in inflamed tissue of 16 patients. All 42 patients were treated as established by the European guidelines. They received i.v. steroids and those who were steroid resistant at day 7 received second line therapy with either ciclosporin or Infliximab. If clinical remission was not observed then one therapy was switched to another. Eight of the 16 patients positive for colonic CMV DNA failed to respond and were commenced on i.v.Ganciclovir for 10 days followed by oral valganciclovir for 15 days along with immunosuppressive therapy. One patient did not achieve clinical remission and required emergency colectomy. The other seven patients all achieved clinical remission and remained in remission at 6 months with no CMV DNA detected on repeat colonic biopsies at day 30  $\pm 5$  days.

Delvincourt et al.<sup>35</sup> reported that CMV reactivation does not appear to alter the course of IBD flares and that treatment directed at CMV does not impact on IBD course. They carried out a retrospective case-controlled study comparing a population of UC patients (n = 26) with relapses and PCR evidence of CMV viraemia without anti-viral treatment to matched patients with blood negative CMV PCR. They found no difference between the two groups, regarding length of stay (8.7 days vs 9.7 days for CMV+ and CMV- patients respectively; P = 0.42) and colectomy rate (15.4% and 23.1% for CMV+ and CMV- patients respectively; P = 0.48). The same group also looked at 110 hospitalisations for relapse of UC with CMV reactivation (80 diagnosed on blood PCR, 33 on tissue PCR) in three French referral centres; evolution following CMV reactivation diagnosis was compared between those receiving anti-viral treatment and those who

did not. There were no differences in the treated and untreated groups of patients with regard to age, gender, IBD type, immunosuppressant, CRP and haemoglobin level. They reported that no differences were observed in CRP level decrease at 10 days and colectomy rate at 3 months (10.6% vs. 13.3%; P = 0.7) between the two groups. They also reported that the group receiving anti-viral treatment had a longer period of hospitalisation (16.3 days vs. 8.3 days; P < 0.001). The findings in this study suggest that patients with latent CMV or reactivation with evidence of CMV viraemia. who are treated with anti-virals have no difference in acute colitis outcomes when compared to those who are not treated with anti-virals. These findings are similar to those of Matsuoka et al.'s<sup>34</sup> study. However, as we have mentioned earlier, latent or subclinical CMV does not correlate with CMV colitis which requires colonic tissue for diagnosis. Delvincourt's group did compare 33 patients who had positive colonic tissue CMV PCR, and reported no difference in outcomes (CRP level drop, length of hospital stay and colectomy rate) between those treated and not treated with anti-virals. However, the CMV DNA cut-off value for diagnosing CMV

colitis was not stated and the positive PCR results if low values may have reflected latent CMV detected in colonic tissue.

In general, the prevalence is negligible in healthy controls. We found a higher prevalence in UC than in CD both for CMV infection (median 14% vs 2.5%) and CMV intestinal disease (median 19.5% vs 11%). The prevalence is highest in steroid refractory disease for CMV infection (median 32.5%) and intestinal disease (median 32.5%.).

#### Diagnostic tests for CMV

More than 10 different tests were used to diagnose CMV (active) infection and intestinal disease, which can be found in the definition Tables Tables22 and and3.3. Histology, with or without immunohistochemistry (IHC), is most widely used to diagnose CMV disease (infection and/ or intestinal disease; n = 30/48). PCR on tissue is used in almost one third of the included studies (14/48). In Table Table55 we present an overview of the test characteristics as described earlier in literature[24,63-70].

Table 4: Testcharacteristicsdifferentdiagnostictoolsforcytomegalovirus

Test	Pro	Con	Sens	Spec
Serology	Fast, quantificationpossible	Systemic,notprovingintestinaldisease;	98%-100%	96%-99%
Antigenemia	Fast, quantificationpossible	Systemic,notprovingintestinaldiseaselabori ntensive	60%-100%	83%-100%
SerumPCR	Fast, quantificationpossible	Systemic, not proving intestinal disease	65%-100%	40%-94%
HEHistology (goldstandard?)	Specific,proofsintestinaldi sease	Slow;lowsensitivity	10%-87%	92%-100%
HistologywithIHC	Specific,proofsintestinaldi sease	Slow	93%	92%-100%
TissuePCR	Quantificationpossible	Cut-offpointunclear, uncertainclinical signif icance	65%-100%	40%-100%
StoolPCR	Quantificationpossible	Littleexperience	83%%	93%
ViralCulture	Veryspecific	Veryslow	45%-78%	89%-100%
RapidVialculture	Veryspecific	Littleexperience	68%-100%	89%-100%
Rapid Vial culture	Very specific	Little experience	68%-100%	89%-100%

Sens: Sensitivity; Spec: Specificity; PCR: Polymerase chain reaction; HE: Hematoxylin and eosin staining; IHC: Immunohistochemistry.

H&E, haematoxylin and eosin; IHC, immune histochemistry; PCR, polymerase chainreaction.

#### Role of immunosuppression

The role of immunosuppression has been addressed by several groups. It is common to see

CMV complicating acute severe colitis in patients who are taking immunosuppressives. In a large retrospective observational study by Raed Al-Zafiri which compares the outcome of IBD patients with and without CMV infection in patients with acute relapse, all the patients with CMV were on standard immunomodulators in addition to steroids.36

In a systematic review of nine case series and 33 case reports over a period of 40 years, CMV was detected in the setting of acute severe

Study	Patient group	Prevalence	Diagnostic test
Kim et al. <sup>50</sup>	New onset UC	4.5% (3/65)	H&E and IHC
Leveque et al. <sup>17</sup>	IBD exacerbations	10.4% (7/53)	Colonic tissue PCR
Kim et al. <sup>51</sup>	Acute IBD exacerbation	8% (12/142)	IHC
Domenech et al. <sup>7</sup>	UC patients	5% (6/114)	H&E and IHC
Criscuoli et al. <sup>38</sup>	Acute colitis (IBD) admissions	16.6% (7/42)	H&E
Kambham et al. <sup>29</sup>	Severe UC	13.8% (11/80)	H&E and IHC

**Table 5:** Histological prevalence of CMV disease in

 IBD patients

colitis. All cases received corticosteroids for a prolonged period of time before CMV infection was diagnosed. In five of nine studies, patients also received some form of immunosuppression with thiopurines or cyclosporine.<sup>27</sup> It is well known that CMV infection can be re-activated in patients on immunosuppression. For example, in patients with organ transplants or HIV patients with acquired immunodeficiency it has a clear pathogenic role causing systemic or organ specific disease. HIV patients who are treated with anti-retroviral therapy have a reduction in the CMV related disease.<sup>37</sup>

In a prospective study of 63 patients (61 ulcerative colitis and two CD) treatment with azathioprine in addition to steroids was found to be a significant risk factor associated with CMV infection.<sup>38</sup>

Patients receiving anti-TNF agents have also been studied<sup>39</sup>; these agents might lead to a reduction in macrophage differentiation and CMV reactivation. This clinical approach is supported by the experience of D'Ovidio et al. in which nine patients with CMV viraemia, three of whom had CMV colitis: neither the CMV infection nor the colitis worsened with infliximab therapy.<sup>40</sup>

Despite the clear association, it is difficult to prove that CMV is a causative factor in the pathogenesis of severe colitis or whether it simply represents a surrogate marker of a severe or steroid-refractory disease. There is an extensive literature concerning CMV complicating acute severe colitis, the role of CMV in CD is less established, although this group of IBD patients is expected to be on some form of immunosuppression in addition.

#### Treatment of CMV colitis

Colitis remission rates after antiviral treatment

in IBD patients with CMV infection range from 67% to 100% (Table 2).37-40 When the full course of antiviral therapy is completed, immunosuppressant therapies including thiopurines 41 may be safely recommenced.

**Table 6:** Outcome of IBD patients with CMV colonic disease following treatment with anti-viral therapy

Study number (n)	Patient group	Colonic CMV	Anti-viral treatment	Outcome/ results
	0 1	Diagnostic test		
Delvincourt et al.31(n = 33)	Acute UC and CMV colonic disease	Semi- quantitative PCR	22 received anti-viral treatment 11 did not receive anti-viral treatment	No difference between two groups in CRP drop, length of stay, colectomy rate
Roblin et al.9(n = 8)	Moderate to severe UC and CMV colonic disease who failed to respond to medical therapy with i.v. steroids and IFX or CsY	Quantitative PCR	All eight received anti-viral treatment	Seven achieved clinical remission and remained in remission at 6 months 1 required colectomy
Cottone et al.37(n = 7)	Admissions with acute colitis and CMV colonic disease (5UC, 2CD colitis)	H&E/IHC	Six received anti-viral treatment	Five achieved clinical remission One required colectomy
Vega et al.40(n = 7)	Acute IBD admissions refractory to i.v.steroids and CMV colonic disease (6 UC, 1 CD)	H&E/IHC	All seven received anti-viral treatment	Five achieved clinical remission One required colectomy One achieved remission with CsY

 CMV, cytomegalovirus; UC, ulcerative colitis; CD, Crohn's disease; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; CRP, C reactive protein; IFX, Infliximab; CsY, cyclosporine; H&E, haematoxylin and eosin; IHC, immune histochemistry.

Ganciclovir is a nucleoside analogue that acts by inhibiting viral DNA polymerase. It is the first line treatment for CMV infection which is given intravenously, at a dose of 5 mg/kg, twice per day, initially. If there is a clinical response then it may

Viswanath Reddy Donapati, G. R. Srinivas Rao,	Y, Rami Reddy / Cytomegalovirus
in Inflammatory Bowel Disease	Clinical Relevance.

Revised Rates for 2019 (Institutional)

				Outside	Outside
Title of the Journal	Frequency	India(INR) Print Only	India(INR) Online Only	India(USD) Print Only	India(USD) Online Only
Dermatology International	Semiannual	5500	5000	430	391
Gastroenterology International	Semiannual	6000	5500	469	430
Indian Journal of Anatomy	Ouarterly	8500	8000	664	625
Indian Journal of Anesthesia and Analgesia	Bi-monthly	7500	7000	586	547
Indian Journal of Cancer Education and Research	Semiannual	9000	8500	703	664
Indian Journal of Communicable Diseases	Semiannual	8500	8000	664	625
Indian Journal of Dental Education	Quarterly	5500	5000	430	391
Indian Journal of Diabetes and Endocrinology	Semiannual	8000	7500	597	560
Indian Journal of Genetics and Molecular Research	Semiannual	7000	6500	547	508
Indian Journal of Hospital Administration	Semiannual	7000	6500	547	508
Indian Journal of Hospital Infection	Semiannual	12500	12000	938	901
Indian Journal of Medical & Health Sciences	Semiannual	7000	6500	547	508
Indian Journal of Pathology: Research and Practice	Bi-monthly	12000	11500	938	898
Indian Journal of Preventive Medicine	Semiannual	7000	6500	547	508
International Journal of Neurology and Neurosurgery	Quarterly	10500	10000	820	781
International Physiology	Ouartorly	10000	2000	280 781	547 742
Journal of Clobal Modical Education and Bosoarch	Somiannual	5900	9500 5500	701	/42
Journal of Global Public Health	Somiannual	12000	11500	440 896	858
Journal of Microbiology and Related Research	Somiannual	8500	8000	664	625
Journal of Organ Transplantation	Semiannual	26400	25900	2063	2023
Journal of Orthopedic Education	Triannual	5500	5000	430	391
Journal of Pharmaceutical and Medicinal Chemistry	Semiannual	16500	16000	1289	1250
Journal of Practical Biochemistry and Biophysics	Semiannual	7000	6500	547	508
Journal of Radiology	Semiannual	8000	7500	625	586
New Indian Journal of Surgery	Bi-monthly	8000	7500	625	586
Ophthalmology and Allied Sciences	Triannuaĺ	6000	5500	469	430
Otolaryngology International	Semiannual	5500	5000	430	391
Pediatric Education and Research	Quarterly	7500	7000	586	547
Physiotherapy and Occupational Therapy Journal	Quarterly	9000	8500	703	664
Urology, Nephrology and Andrology International	Semiannual	7500	7000	586	547
Indian Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine	Semiannual	9500	9000	742	703
Indian Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology	Quarterly	9500	9000	742	703
Indian Journal of Emergency Medicine	Quarterly	12500	12000	977	938
Indian Journal of Trauma and Emergency Pediatrics	Quarterly	9500	9000	742	703
Journal of Emergency and Trauma Nursing	Semiannual	5500	5000	430	391
Indian Journal of Forensic Medicine and Pathology	Quarterly	16000	15500	1250	201
Indian Journal of Local Medicine	Semiannual	\$500 \$500	8000	450	625
International Journal of Foronsic Sciences	Somiannual	10000	9500	781	742
Journal of Forensic Chemistry and Toxicology	Semiannual	9500	9000	742	703
Community and Public Health Nursing	Triannual	5500	5000	430	391
Indian Journal of Surgical Nursing	Triannual	5500	5000	430	391
International Journal of Pediatric Nursing	Triannual	5500	5000	430	391
International Journal of Practical Nursing	Triannual	5500	5000	430	391
Journal of Gerontology and Geriatric Nursing	Semiannual	5500	5000	430	391
Journal of Nurse Midwifery and Maternal Health	Triannual	5500	5000	430	391
Journal of Psychiatric Nursing	Triannual	5500	5000	430	391
Indian Journal of Ancient Medicine and Yoga	Quarterly	8000	7500	625	586
Indian Journal of Law and Human Behavior	Semiannual	6000	5500	469	430
Indian Journal of Medical Psychiatry	Semiannual	8000	7500	625	586
Indian Journal of Biology	Semiannual	5500	5000	430	391
Indian Journal of Library and Information Science	Triannual	9500	9000	742	703
Indian Journal of Research in Anthropology	Semiannual	12500	12000	977	938
Indian Journal of Waste Management	Semiannual	9500	8500	742	664
International Journal of Political Science	Semiannual	0000	55UU 7000	400	413
Journal of Social Welfare and Management	Triannual	/500	7000	200	201
International Journal of Food, Nutrition & Dietetics	I riannual	3300 7800	5000 7200	430	391 570
Journal of Food Additives and Contaminants	Somiannual	7000	4500	201	350
Journal of Food Technology and Engineering	Somiannual	5000	4500	301	352
Indian Journal of Agriculture Business	Semiannual	5500	5000	413	375
Indian Journal of Plant and Soil	Semiannual	6500	6000	508	469
· · ·					

#### Terms of Supply:

1. Agency discount 12.5%. Issues will be sent directly to the end user, otherwise foreign rates will be charged.

2. All back volumes of all journals are available at current rates

3. All Journals are available free online with print order within the subscription period.

4. All legal disputes subject to Delhi jurisdiction.

5. Cancellations are not accepted orders once processed.

6. Demand draft / cheque should be issued in favour of "Red Flower Publication Pvt. Ltd." payable at Delhi
7. Full pre-payment is required. It can be done through online (http://rfppl.co.in/subscribe.php?mid=7).

- 8. No claims will be entertained if not reported within 6 months of the publishing date.
- 9. Orders and payments are to be sent to our office address as given above.
- 10. Postage & Handling is included in the subscription rates.

11. Subscription period is accepted on calendar year basis (i.e. Jan to Dec). However orders may be placed any time throughout the year.

#### Order from

Red Flower Publication Pvt. Ltd., 48/41-42, DSIDC, Pocket-II, Mayur Vihar Phase-I, Delhi - 110 091 (India), Mobile: 8130750089, Phone: 91-11-45796900, 22754205, 22756995 E-mail: sales@rfppl.co.in, Website: www.rfppl.co.in be switched to oral therapy; however, some authors advocate a full 14 day course of intravenous treatment.<sup>12,41</sup> Valganciclovir, the pro-drug of ganciclovir, has superior oral absorption and may be preferred for out-patient management, although most patients requiring therapy will be in-patients. The most frequent side effects of ganciclovir are neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, rash, hypotension, nausea, vomiting and headache.<sup>42</sup>

Ganciclovir resistance is an evolving issue and should be considered if patients fail to respond to treatment. After 3 months of therapy, the emergence of ganciclovir resistant strains approaches 10% and after 12 months 30%.<sup>43-45</sup> Ganciclovir resistance usually arises as a result of a mutation in the UL97 CMV phosphotransferase gene.

For those patients who are intolerant, or lack clinical response, to ganciclovir, foscarnet is the second choice. Foscarnet is an inhibitor of DNA polymerase of all herpes viruses. Foscarnet does not require phosphorylation by UL97 and so is most often active against ganciclovir resistant strains.<sup>46,47</sup> Foscarnet is given at 90 mg/kg, intravenously, twice per day for 2–3 weeks. Foscarnet toxicity includes renal impairment, central nervous system side effects, hypomagnesaemia, hypocalcaemia, hypophosphataemia and anaemia.<sup>48</sup>

An alternative strategy for dealing with concerns about CMV colitis is to treat all patients with severe UC with ganciclovir. Kim et al.<sup>49</sup> reported a series of 72 patients with moderate to severe UC treated with glucocorticoids. In patients with steroid resistance (defined as absence of clinical improvement 7-14 days after intravenous steroid treatment) ganciclovir was administered for 2 weeks: remission was achieved in 11 of 14 patients treated, the remainder undergoing colectomy. This strategy might be appropriate when there is reasonable clinical suspicion of CMV, without risking the inherent delays of a laboratory assessment of CMV in colonic tissue samples, however, the two studies by Delvincourt et al. mentioned earlier suggest this is actually unnecessary.<sup>35</sup>

#### Discussion

This review describes the prevalence of both CMV infection and intestinal disease in Inflammatory bowel disease patients. The prevalence varies with the definition used and there is no standard definition worldwide. In case of diagnosing CMV intestinal disease, the highest prevalence applies for tissue PCR (> 10 copies/mg tissue). The prevalence

of CMV infection or disease was highest in group of patients with steroid refractory disease and in East Asia. In general, CMV infection or intestinal disease is seen more frequently in UC compared to CD.

CMV infection is described as (incidental) finding of positive PCR or detection of CMV antigens or antibodies in serum, whereas CMV disease is a clinical syndrome where CMV infection is accompanied by manifest clinical symptoms. Subclinical reactivation of CMV, without symptoms, is seen in approximately 50% of active UC cases on immunosuppressive therapy. There has been no unified definition in this regard in clinical trials and many times CMV infection and disease have been used interchangeably.

Population based CMV seroprevalence studies are lacking, but a review found seroprevalence highest in South America, Africa and Asia, and was also higher in parts of Europe and the Middle East<sup>[6]</sup>. The most likely explanation is the use of different diagnostic methods for CMV infection in different regions of the world. CMV infection and more importantly CMV colitis is unusual in the healthy population<sup>[13,28,29,54]</sup> as described before in a systematic review. Only one study reported presence of CMV DNA in 29% of asymptomatic control samples, but strong evidence is lacking<sup>[14]</sup>. There was a lower prevalence of CMV infection (and to a lesser extent in intestinal disease) for CD compared to UC<sup>[13,34]</sup>. The most frequently studied population in relation to CMV is those with steroid resistant IBD or refractory UC. There was highest prevalence of CMV infection and CMV intestinal disease in steroid refractory disease, for each definition of CMV used<sup>[54]</sup>. Whether or not CMV reactivation has a role in the process of steroid resistance, is still under debate.

The surveyed literature contains 29 different methods to diagnose CMV infection and/or intestinal disease, probably mainly caused by the fact that still no single gold standard exists for (clinically relevant) CMV infection in IBD. In general, the literature recommends to process biopsies for HE and IHC<sup>[33]</sup> and/or if available by CMV DNA real-time PCR, with a cut off value that is yet to be identified. The recent ECCO guidelinementions that different techniques for diagnosis of CMV infection are available, but stops short of defining a gold standard. The guideline refers to histopathology combined with IHC (using monoclonal antibodies) as highly specific and sensitive for verifying CMV infection in tissue. In addition the guideline describes quantitative PCR in tissue and in blood as the most commonly

used and advantageous technique for diagnosis of CMV infection. Quantitative PCR has a low sensitivity for diagnosing CMV colitis in patients with moderate to severe UC, and cannot substitute histopathology diagnosis<sup>[44]</sup>. CMV infection in general and for all organ specific involvements in transplant recipients, there was a guideline developed which has been updated in 2002. CMV infection was defined as isolation of the CMV virus or detection of viral proteins or nucleic acid in any body fluid or tissue specimen. There CMV (gastro) intestinal disease was defined by identification of a combination of (1) clinical symptoms; (2) findings of macroscopic mucosal lesions on endoscopy; and (3) demonstration of CMV infection (by culture, histopathology, IHC, or in situ hybridization) in a (gastro)intestinal tract biopsy specimen. According to this guideline detection of CMV by PCR alone



Fig 2: Proposed algorithm for diagnosis and management of CMV colitis in IBD

is insufficient for diagnosis of CMV gastrointestinal disease. Applying these criteria to IBD patients can be more complicated, since ulceration can also be caused by the underlying IBD. Since then, multiple more sensitive diagnostic tests have been developed to detect CMV, but a threshold or definition of clinical relevant CMV infection or intestinal disease remains to be established. There is an urgent need to have a global consensus meeting to have a unified definition for the CMV disease in IBD and the guideline could be useful in managing these patients successfully.

The limitation of the study is that there are no randomised trials in this aspect and the heterogeneity of the design of included studies and wide variations in used definitions for both CMV infection and intestinal disease to perform a metaanalysis on these data.

#### Recommendations for diagnosis and treatment

We suggest that CMV colitis be considered for all patients presenting with moderate to severe UC (Figure 2). Early diagnosis should be considered especially in those patients who are resistant to first line treatment with corticosteroids or second line treatment with immunosuppressants. Diagnosis requires demonstrating CMV in colonic tissue. We recommend processing biopsies for H&E and IHC or/and if available CMV DNA real-time PCR. The cut off value for CMV DNA is yet to be identified but values >250 copies/mg tissue seem to predict resistance to steroids and two immunosuppressants and treatment has been shown to increase chance of achieving clinical remission and remaining in remission for 6 months.9 Once diagnosed, we recommend treatment with i.v.ganciclovir 5 mg/kg twice daily for 14 days. The initial treatment for UC should continue alongside anti-viral therapy with corticosteroids and if necessary medical rescue therapy with immunosuppressants.

#### Conclusion

There is wide variation in defining the CMV infection and clinically relevant disease in the IBD patients. Cytomegalovirus reactivation is common in patients with severe ulcerative or severe Crohn's colitis. It seems likely that the reactivation is mediated by the both the inflammatory state of the mucosa and the immunosuppressive drugs administered to such patients. The outcome for patients with CMV reactivation appears worse than that for patients without reactivation, but it is not entirely clear that CMV is a contributor or a bystander. However, treatment of CMV in patients with severe colitis might reduce the colectomy rate suggesting that the virus is playing a role in the otherwise poor outlook for patients with severe ulcerative colitis. There is a need to have a global consensus definition for the CMV infection and disease in the IBD patient group.

#### References

- Lockwood, MR, Liddle, J, Kitsanta, P. Cytomegalovirus colitis – an unusual cause for diarrhoea in an elderly woman. Age Ageing 2006; 35: 198–200
- 2. Banerjee, D, Deb, R, Dar, L, et al. High frequency of parasitic and viral stool pathogens in patients with active ulcerative colitis: report from a tropical country. Scand J Gastroenterol 2009; 44:325–31.
- 3. Dieterich, DT, Rahmin, M. Cytomegalovirus colitis in AIDS: presentation in 44 patients and a review of the literature. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 1991; 4( Suppl. 1): S29– 35.
- Yoshikawa, T. Significance of human herpesviruses to transplant recipients. Curr Opin Infect Dis2003; 16: 601– 6.
- Ogunneye, O, Hernandez, J. Acute cytomegalovirus colitis mimicking exacerbation of ulcerative colitis: precipitating or coincidental? Am J Med 2012; 125: e3–4.
- Powell, RD, Warner, NE, Levine, RS, Kirsner, JB. Cytomegalic inclusion disease and ulcerative colitis: report of a case in a young adult. Am J Med 1961; 30: 334–40.
- Domenech, E, Vega, R, Ojanguren, I, et al. Cytomegalovirus infection in ulcerative colitis: a prospective, comparative study on prevalence and diagnostic strategy. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2008; 14:1373–9.
- 8. Yi, F, Zhao, J, Luckheeram, RV, et al. The prevalence and risk factors of cytomegalovirus infection in inflammatory bowel disease in Wuhan, Central China. Virol J 2013; 10: 43.
- 9. Elena Garrido, Elisa Carrera, Rebeca Manzano, and Antonio Lopez-Sanroman. Clinical significance of cytomegalovirus infection in patients with inflammatory bowel disease, World J Gastroenterol. 2013 Jan 7; 19(1): 17–25
- Tessa EH Römkens, Geert J Bulte, Loes HC Nissen, and Joost PH Drenth. Cytomegalovirus in inflammatory bowel disease: A systematic review. World J Gastroenterol. 2016 Jan 21; 22(3): 1321–1330.
- Sager K1, Alam S, Bond A, Chinnappan L, Probert CS.Review article: cytomegalovirus and inflammatory bowel disease.Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2015 Apr;41(8):725-33. doi: 10.1111/apt.13124. Epub 2015 Feb 16.
- 12. Sung Chul Park,1 Yoon Mi Jeen,2 and Yoon Tae Jeen3. Approach to cytomegalovirus infections in

# **Instructions to Authors**

Submission to the journal must comply with the Guidelines for Authors. Non-compliant submission will be returned to the author for correction.

To access the online submission system and for the most up-to-date version of the Guide for Authors please visit:

http://www.rfppl.co.in

Technical problems or general questions on publishing with GI are supported by Red Flower Publication Pvt. Ltd's Author Support team (http://rfppl.co.in/article\_submission\_ system.php?mid=5#)

Alternatively, please contact the Journal's Editorial Office for further assistance.

Editorial Manager Red Flower Publication Pvt. Ltd. 48/41-42, DSIDC, Pocket-II Mayur Vihar Phase-I Delhi - 110 091(India) Phone: 91-11-22754205, 45796900, 22756995, Fax: 91-11-22754205 E-mail: author@rfppl.co.in patients with ulcerative colitisKorean J Intern Med. 2017 May; 32(3): 383–392.

- 13. Roblin, X, Pillet, S, Oussalah, A, et al. Cytomegalovirus load in inflamed intestinal tissue is predictive of resistance to immunosuppressive therapy in ulcerative colitis. Am J Gastroenterol2011; 106: 2001– 8.
- Iida, T, Ikeya, K, Watanabe, F, et al. Looking for endoscopic features of cytomegalovirus colitis: a study of 187 patients with active ulcerative colitis, positive and negative for cytomegalovirus. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2013; 19: 1156– 63
- Dimitroulia, E, Spanakis, N, Konstantinidou, AE, Legakis, NJ, Tsakris, A. Frequent detection of cytomegalovirus in the intestine of patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis2006; 12: 879– 84.
- Christidou, A, Zambeli, E, Mantzaris, G. Cytomegalovirus and inflammatory bowel disease: pathogenicity, diagnosis and treatment. Ann Gastroenterol 2007; 20: 110– 5.
- 17. Maher, MM, Nassar, MI. Acute cytomegalovirus infection is a risk factor in refractory and complicated inflammatory bowel disease. Dig Dis Sci 2009; 54: 2456–62.
- Suzuki, H, Kato, J, Kuriyama, M, Hiraoka, S, Kuwaki, K, Yamamoto, K. Specific endoscopic features of ulcerative colitis complicated by cytomegalovirus infection. World J Gastroenterol 2010;16: 1245–51.
- Omiya, M, Matsushita, M, Tanaka, T, Kawamata, S, Okazaki, K. The absence of large ulcer predicts latent cytomegalovirus infection in ulcerative colitis with positive mucosal viral assay. Intern Med 2010; 49: 2277–82.
- Garrido, E, Carrera, E, Manzano, R, Lopez-Sanroman, A. Clinical significance of cytomegalovirus infection in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. World J Gastroenterol 2013;19: 17–25.
- Leveque, N, Brixi-Benmansour, H, Reig, T, et al. Low frequency of cytomegalovirus infection during exacerbations of inflammatory bowel diseases. J Med Virol 2010; 82: 1694–700.
- Kandiel, A, Lashner, B. Cytomegalovirus colitis complicating inflammatory bowel disease. Am J Gastroenterol 2006; 101: 2857–65.
- Beaugerie, L, Cywiner-Golenzer, C, Monfort, L, et al. Definition and diagnosis of cytomegalovirus colitis in patients infected by human immunodeficiency virus. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol 1997; 14: 423– 9.
- 24. McCoy, MH, Post, K, Sen, JD, et al. qPCR increases sensitivity to detect cytomegalovirus in formalinfixed, paraffin-embedded tissue of gastrointestinal biopsies. Hum Pathol 2014; 45: 48– 53.
- Cotte, L, Drouet, E, Bissuel, F, Denoyel, GA, Trepo, C. Diagnostic value of amplification of human cytomegalovirus DNA from gastrointestinal biopsies from human immunodeficiency virusinfected patients. J Clin Microbiol 1993; 31: 2066– 9.
- 26. Rahier, JF, Ben-Horin, S, Chowers, Y, et al. European

evidence-based consensus on the prevention, diagnosis and management of opportunistic infections in inflammatory bowel disease. J Crohns Colitis 2009; 3: 47–91.

- 27. Hommes, DW, Sterringa, G, Deventer, SJ, Tytgat, GN, Weel, J. The pathogenicity of cytomegalovirus in inflammatory bowel disease: a systematic review and evidence-based recommendations for future research. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2004; 10: 245–50.
- Nakase, H, Yoshino, T, Honzawa, Y, Chiba, T. Low prevalence of CMV infection in patients with Crohn's disease in comparison with ulcerative colitis: effect of different immune response on prevalence of CMV infection. Dig Dis Sci 2010; 55: 1498–9.
- Knosel, T, Schewe, C, Petersen, N, Dietel, M, Petersen, I. Prevalence of infectious pathogens in Crohn's disease. Pathol Res Pract 2009; 205: 223–30.
- Takahashi, Y, Tange, T. Prevalence of cytomegalovirus infection in inflammatory bowel disease patients. Dis Colon Rectum 2004; 47: 722– 6.
- Kojima, T, Watanabe, T, Hata, K, Shinozaki, M, Yokoyama, T, Nagawa, H. Cytomegalovirus infection in ulcerative colitis. Scand J Gastroenterol 2006; 41: 706– 11.
- Kuwabara, A, Okamoto, H, Suda, T, Ajioka, Y, Hatakeyama, K. Clinicopathologic characteristics of clinically relevant cytomegalovirus infection in inflammatory bowel disease. J Gastroenterol2007; 42: 823–9.
- Kambham, N, Vij, R, Cartwright, CA, Longacre, T. Cytomegalovirus infection in steroid-refractory ulcerative colitis: a case-control study. Am J Surg Pathol 2004; 28: 365–73.
- 34. Matsuoka, K, Iwao, Y, Mori, T, et al. Cytomegalovirus is frequently reactivated and disappears without antiviral agents in ulcerative colitis patients. Am J Gastroenterol 2007; 102: 331–7.
- 35. Delvincourt, M, Lopez, A, Pillet, S, et al. The impact of cytomegalovirus reacti-vation and its treatment on the course of inflammatory bowel disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2014; 39: 712– 20.
- Al-Zafiri, R, Gologan, A, Galiatsatos, P, Szilagyi, A. Cytomegalovirus complicating inflammatory bowel disease: a 10-year experience in a communitybased, university-affiliated hospital. Gastroenterol Hepatol (NY) 2012; 8: 230– 9.
- Macdonald, JC, Torriani, FJ, Morse, LS, Karavellas, MP, Reed, JB, Freeman, WR. Lack of reactivation of cytomegalovirus (CMV) retinitis after stopping CMV maintenance therapy in AIDS patients with sustained elevations in CD4 T cells in response to highly active antiretroviral therapy. J Infect Dis 1998; 177: 1182–7.
- Kishore, J, Ghoshal, U, Ghoshal, UC, et al. Infection with cytomegalovirus in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: prevalence, clinical significance and outcome. J Med Microbiol 2004;53: 1155–60.
- Pillet, S, Pozzetto, B, Jarlot, C, Paul, S, Roblin, X. Management of cytomegalovirus infection in

inflammatory bowel diseases. Dig Liver Dis 2012; 44: 541-8.

- Britt WJ, Boppana S. Human cytomegalovirus virion proteins. Hum Immunol. 2004;65:395-402. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Goodgame RW. Gastrointestinal cytomegalovirus disease. Ann Intern Med. 1993;119:924–935. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- de Jong MD, Galasso GJ, Gazzard B, Griffiths PD, Jabs DA, Kern ER, Spector SA. Summary of the II International Symposium on Cytomegalovirus. Antiviral Res. 1998;39:141–162. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Korndewal MJ, Mollema L, Tcherniaeva I, van der Klis F, Kroes AC, Oudesluys-Murphy AM, Vossen AC, de Melker HE. Cytomegalovirus infection in the Netherlands: seroprevalence, risk factors, and implications. J Clin Virol. 2015;63:53–58. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Pembrey L, Raynor P, Griffiths P, Chaytor S, Wright J, Hall AJ. Seroprevalence of cytomegalovirus, Epstein Barr virus and varicella zoster virus among pregnant women in Bradford: a cohort study. PLoS One. 2013;8:e81881. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 45. Cannon MJ, Schmid DS, Hyde TB. Review of cytomegalovirus seroprevalence and demographic characteristics associated with infection. Rev Med Virol. 2010;20:202–213. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Vilibic-Cavlek T, Kolaric B, Ljubin-Sternak S, Kos M, Kaic B, Mlinaric-Galinovic G. Prevalence and dynamics of cytomegalovirus infection among patients undergoing chronic hemodialysis. Indian J Nephrol. 2015;25:95–98. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 47. Santos CA, Brennan DC, Fraser VJ, Olsen MA. Incidence, risk factors, and outcomes of delayedonset cytomegalovirus disease in a large, retrospective cohort of heart transplant recipients. Transplant Proc. 2014;46:3585-3592. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Heiden D, Tun N, Maningding E, Heiden M, Rose-Nussbaumer J, Chan KN, Khizniak T, Yakubenko A, Lewallen S, Keenan JD, et al. Training clinicians treating HIV to diagnose cytomegalovirus retinitis. Bull World Health Organ. 2014;92:903–908. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gøtzsche PC, Ioannidis JP, Clarke M, Devereaux PJ, Kleijnen J, Moher D. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. BMJ. 2009;339:b2700. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 50. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. Ann Intern Med. 2009;151:264–269, W64. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 51. Sipponen T, Turunen U, Lautenschlager I, Nieminen U, Arola J, Halme L. Human herpesvirus

6 and cytomegalovirus in ileocolonic mucosa in inflammatory bowel disease. Scand J Gastroenterol. 2011;46:1324–1333. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

- Knösel T, Schewe C, Petersen N, Dietel M, Petersen I. Prevalence of infectious pathogens in Crohn's disease. Pathol Res Pract. 2009;205:223–230. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 53. Wakefield AJ, Fox JD, Sawyerr AM, Taylor JE, Sweenie CH, Smith M, Emery VC, Hudson M, Tedder RS, Pounder RE. Detection of herpesvirus DNA in the large intestine of patients with ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease using the nested polymerase chain reaction. J Med Virol. 1992;38:183–190. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 54. Nakase H, Yoshino T, Honzawa Y, Chiba T. Low prevalence of CMV infection in patients with Crohn's disease in comparison with ulcerative colitis: effect of different immune response on prevalence of CMV infection. Dig Dis Sci. 2010;55:1498-1499. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Hirata I, Murano M. Endoscopic diagnosis of refractory ulcerative colitis. Inflammopharmacology. 2007;15:22–25. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 56. Iida T, Ikeya K, Watanabe F, Abe J, Maruyama Y, Ohata A, Teruyuki S, Sugimoto K, Hanai H. Looking for endoscopic features of cytomegalovirus colitis: a study of 187 patients with active ulcerative colitis, positive and negative for cytomegalovirus. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2013;19:1156–1163. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 18. Suzuki H, Kato J, Kuriyama M, Hiraoka S, Kuwaki K, Yamamoto K. Specific endoscopic features of ulcerative colitis complicated by cytomegalovirus infection. World J Gastroenterol. 2010;16:1245–1251. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 58. 19. Wada Y, Matsui T, Matake H, Sakurai T, Yamamoto J, Kikuchi Y, Yorioka M, Tsuda S, Yao T, Yao S, et al. Intractable ulcerative colitis caused by cytomegalovirus infection: a prospective study on prevalence, diagnosis, and treatment. Dis Colon Rectum. 2003;46:S59–S65. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 59. 20. Criscuoli V, Casà A, Orlando A, Pecoraro G, Oliva L, Traina M, Rizzo A, Cottone M. Severe acute colitis associated with CMV: a prevalence study. Dig Liver Dis. 2004;36:818–820. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 60. 21. Inokuchi T, Kato J, Hiraoka S, Suzuki H, Nakarai A, Hirakawa T, Akita M, Takahashi S, Harada K, Okada H, et al. Long-term follow-up of ulcerative colitis patients treated on the basis of their cytomegalovirus antigen status. World J Gastroenterol. 2014;20:509–517. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 61. 22. Banerjee D, Deb R, Dar L, Mirdha BR, Pati SK, Thareja S, Falodia S, Ahuja V. High frequency of parasitic and viral stool pathogens in patients with active ulcerative colitis: report from a tropical country. Scand JGastroenterol. 2009;44:325–331. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 62. 23. Van Kruiningen HJ, Poulin M, Garmendia AE,

Desreumaux P, Colombel JF, De Hertogh G, Geboes K, Vermeire S, Tsongalis GJ. Search for evidence of recurring or persistent viruses in Crohn's disease. APMIS. 2007;115:962–968. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

- 24. Herfarth HH, Long MD, Rubinas TC, Sandridge M, Miller MB. Evaluation of a non-invasive method to detect cytomegalovirus (CMV)-DNA in stool samples of patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD): a pilot study. Dig Dis Sci. 2010;55:1053–1058. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 25. Mokhtari M, Tavakkoli H, Rafiee A, Dibaj R. Assessment of relationship between active ulcerative colitis and cytomegalovirus infection among Iranian patients. Adv Biomed Res. 2012;1:19. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 26. Kim JJ, Simpson N, Klipfel N, Debose R, Barr N, Laine L. Cytomegalovirus infection in patients with active inflammatory bowel disease. Dig Dis Sci. 2010;55:1059–1065. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 66. 27. Dimitroulia E, Spanakis N, Konstantinidou

AE, Legakis NJ, Tsakris A. Frequent detection of cytomegalovirus in the intestine of patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis. 2006;12:879–884. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

- Kambham N, Vij R, Cartwright CA, Longacre T. Cytomegalovirus infection in steroid-refractory ulcerative colitis: a case-control study. Am J Surg Pathol. 2004;28:365–373. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 29. Kuwabara A, Okamoto H, Suda T, Ajioka Y, Hatakeyama K. Clinicopathologic characteristics of clinically relevant cytomegalovirus infection in inflammatory bowel disease. J Gastroenterol. 2007;42:823–829. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 69. 30. Barahona-Garrido J, Martínez-Benítez B, Espinosa-Cárdenas E, Sarti HM, Gutiérrez-Manjarrez JI, Aguirre-Gutiérrez R, Tellez-Avila FI, Coss-Adame E, García-Juárez I, Yamamoto-Furusho JK. Cytomegalovirus infection in patients who required colectomy for toxic megacolon or severe steroid-refractory ulcerative colitis. Dig Dis Sci. 2010;55:867–868. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\*

# **Gastroenterology International**

# Library Recommendation Form

If you would like to recommend this journal to your library, simply complete the form below and return it to us. Please type or print the information clearly. We will forward a sample copy to your library, along with this recommendation card.

# Please send a sample copy to:

Name of Librarian Name of Library Address of Library

# **Recommended by:**

Your Name/ Title Department Address

# Dear Librarian,

I would like to recommend that your library subscribe to the **Gastroenterology International**. I believe the major future uses of the journal for your library would provide:

1. useful information for members of my specialty.

2. an excellent research aid.

3. an invaluable student resource.

# I have a personal subscription and understand and appreciate the value an institutional subscription would mean to our staff.

Should the journal you're reading right now be a part of your University or institution's library? To have a free sample sent to your librarian, simply fill out and mail this today!

Stock Manager **Red Flower Publication Pvt. Ltd.** 48/41-42, DSIDC, Pocket-II Mayur Vihar Phase-I Delhi - 110 091(India) Phone: Phone: 91-11-45796900, 22754205, 22756995, Fax: 91-11-22754205 E-mail: sales@rfppl.co.in

# Extra Pulmonary Manifestation of COVID 19 i.e., Gastrointestinal Symptoms in COVID 19 Received in OPD/IPD of Chugh Multispecialty Hospital – A single Centric Study.

### <sup>1</sup>Mayank Chugh, <sup>2</sup>SatenderTanwar

#### Author Affiliation

Consulting Physician, Gastroenterologist at Chugh Multispecialty Hospital, Bhiwani Haryana 127021, India.

#### **Corresponding Author**

SatenderTanwar: Associate Consultant, Chugh Multispecialty Hospital, Bhiwani Haryana 127021, India. E-mail: chughmayank@gmail.com

#### Abstract

COVID-19 Virus is mainly transmitted through drops generated when an infected person coughs, sneezes, or exhales. These droplets are too heavy to hang in the air, and quickly fall on floors or surfaces.<sup>1</sup>

The person might acquire infection very soon, if you are within close proximity of someone who has COVID-19, or by touching a contaminated surface and then your eyes, nose or mouth. The pandemic disease COVID-19 affects different people in different ways. Those who get infected will develop mild to moderate illness and recover without hospitalization.<sup>2</sup>

- Most common symptoms:
- Fever
- Dry cough
- Tiredness
- Less common symptoms:
- Aches and pains
- Sore throat
- Diarrhea
- Conjunctivitis
- Headache
- Loss of taste or smell

Besides these symptoms the patient received in OPD/IPD with or without Fever history such as pain abdomen, loose stools and vomiting when tested found positive and managed accordingly. Totally patient received in OPD/IPD during the month of Mid March to Mid May totally screened patient with suspicion of COVID has been tested RT PCR Positive 58 patient out of total hospitalization and OPD footfall.

Extra pulmonary features are common in COVID 19 and commonest being the gastrointestinal.<sup>3</sup>

Keywords: COVID, GIT, Extra Pulmonary, RT PCR.

How to cite this article:

Mayank Chugh, Satender Tanwar. Extra Pulmonary Manifestation of COVID 19 i.e., Gastrointestinal Symptoms in COVID 19 Received in OPD/IPD of Chugh Multispecialty Hospital – A single Centric Study. Gastroenterology International. 2018;3(1-2):19-22.

22

Mayank Chugh, SatenderTanwar. Extra Pulmonary Manifestation of COVID 19 i.e., Gastrointestinal Symptoms in COVID 19 Received in OPD/IPD of Chugh Multispecialty Hospital – A single Centric Study.

#### Introduction

COVID 19 has presented with different kind of A fever dry cough, and shortness of breath are hallmark signs COVID-19, the illness caused by the new coronavirus. But early research suggests that another common symptom may be gastro intestinal.<sup>4</sup>

Those symptoms can stem from other common causes, including stomach discomfort. But if you have COVID-19, Gastro intestinal problems may slow your recovery.

The person acquire the virus infects your body, it can destroy healthy cells and make multiple copies of itself. COVID-19 mainly attacks the cells lining your airways.<sup>5</sup> By this mechanism makes it hard for you to breathe and can lead to pneumonia. But researchers think the illness also may harm your digestive tract and liver tissue.

The COVID 19 acquired infection with digestive symptoms were more likely to have a positive stool test for the coronavirus, which means they had SARS-CoV-2 RNA in their poop. It also took them longer to clear the virus from their bodies, compared to those without gastrointestinal symptoms.<sup>6</sup>

#### Gastro Intestinal Symptoms -

Total	Patients	Received
101111	1 nucius	I C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C

Sr. No	Psymptoms	Percentage	Total patient Received
1.	Loose Stools	64 %	28
2.	Vomiting	58 %	22
3.	Bloating	32 %	17
4.	Nausea	28%	11
5.	Combination of Above	22 %	7

Total Patient received 85 from Mid March to Mid

may 2021 with the extra Pulmonary symptoms, has been shown above.

**Observation** – The above statistics observed and drawn inferences as the out of 85 patient with GIT symptoms in COVID Symptoms the most common being the loose stools and nausea being the least one. Patients do have the combination of all also considered.

*Conclusion* - COVID 19 presents with different kind of variation including Pulmonary and Extra Pulmonary, The Study Conducted here from Mid March to Mid May received total 85 patients as shown in the data. The study comprises of all patients received in OPD and IPD during the period. The key note message made from the study that the COVID in multivariate in different systems and gastro intestinal symptoms cannot be overlooked.

#### References

- Paules CI, Marston HD, Fauci AS. Coronavirus infections – more than just the common cold. JAMA 2020;323:707-708.
- Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, et al. A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019. N Engl J Med 2020;382:727-733.
- Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 cell entry depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and is blocked by a clinically proven protease inhibitor. Cell 2020;181(2):271-280.e8.
- Ma J, Qi X, Chen H, et al. COVID-19 patients in earlier stages exhaled millions of SARS-CoV-2 per hour. Clin Infect Dis 2020 August 28 (Epub ahead of print).
- 5. Klompas M, Baker MA, Rhee C. Airborne transmission of SARS-CoV-2: theoretical considerations and available evidence. JAMA 2020;324:441-442.
- 6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. SARS-CoV-2 and potential airborne transmission. 2020.

#### \*\*\*\*\*\*

# Acute Biliary Peritonitis A Rare Case Report of Isolated Gall Bladder Gangrene Secondary to Coeliac Artery Thrombus

#### <sup>1</sup>Shwetha R Chandra, <sup>2</sup>Vinay H G, <sup>3</sup>Ramesh Reddy G, <sup>4</sup>Merin Mary

#### Author Affiliation

<sup>1</sup>Senior Resident, <sup>2</sup>Associate Professor <sup>3</sup>Professor, <sup>4</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, Vydehi Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Centre, RGUHS, Bangalore –560066 Karnataka India

#### **Corresponding Author**

Vinay H G: Associate Professor, Department of General Surgery, Vydehi Institute of Medical Sciences & Research Centre Bangalore – 560066 Karnataka India E-mail: address: vinay\_1771@yahoo.co.in

#### Abstract

Acute thrombosis of the celiac trunk is a very uncommon condition, unless diagnosed early can become a life threatening emergency. The clinical presentation is highly variable depending on the extent of the thrombus. We report a case of biliary peritonitis related to an acute thrombosis of the celiac trunk and isolated gangrene of the gall bladder. This case highlights the role of diagnostic laparoscopy in the diagnosis of acute upper abdominal pain.

Keywords: Pain abdomen, mesenteric ischaemia, laparoscopy, gall bladder

#### How to cite this article:

Shwetha R Chandra, Vinay H G, Ramesh Reddy G, Merin Mary. Acute biliary peritonitis - a rare case report of isolated gall bladder gangrene secondary to coeliac artery thrombus. Gastroenterology International. 2018;3(1-2):19-22.

#### Introduction:

Atherosclerotic vascular occlusion of abdominal ar¬teries is considered as a dreaded disease with high mortality. In developing countries like ours, the presentation is different from those described in Western Reports.

We are hereby reporting a case of isolated gallbladder gan¬grene secondary to celiac trunk stenosis in a 46year old male patient, who was successfully managed by early surgical intervention.

#### Case report:

A 46 year old patient presented to emergency room

with a 3-day history of pain abdomen Tenderness was noted in right subcostal region, suggestive of acute cholecystitis. Other exam<sup>-</sup>ination findings were unremarkable.

Emergency CT-abdomen showed Atherosclerotic chang¬es in the abdominal aorta, bilateral common and internal iliac ar¬teries with extensive stenoocclusive disease involving the coeliac trunk, SMA, IMA, infra-renal abdominal aorta (Figures 1 & 2).

Routine hematological reports were within normal limits except for raised total leukocyte count (10.4 mg/dl), LFT was normal, coagulation profile showed prolonged prothrombin time. He was provisionally diagnosed with acute ischemic cho¬lecystitis, and underwentemergency diagnostic laparoscopy. On diagnostic laparoscopy, (Figures 3 & 4) Omentum was adhered to gall bladder, gall bladder wall was gangrenous, necrosed with pericholecystic fluid collection. Rest of the visualised viscera was normal. Cholecystectomy was performed. He was stabilized, cardiology opinion was taken and discharge on post-operative day 3. HPE reports were followed up (Figure 5).



Fig.1: CT image with showing block in the coeliac trunk



Fig.2: CT image showing gas shadow in the Gall bladder



Fig. 3: Laparoscopic view showing normal viscera



Fig. 4: Laparoscopic view showing gangrenous gall bladder



Fig. 5: Microscopic photograph of ischaemic gall bladder wall with thrombosed arteriole wall

#### Discussion

Vascular abnormalities of the main arteries in the upper abdomen (including the celiac trunk and its axes or the mesen¬teric arteries) have been widely reported. In developing coun-tries like ours, occlusion of major abdominal arteries the presen-tation is different from those described in Western Reports. A study published by Raghavendra Nagaraja et all showed that the patients here presented a decade earlier and venous obstruction was a more common cause. Further, the majority, are referred to tertiary medical centers at advanced stages of their disease once the features of peritonitis have developed[1]. However, according western literature mesenteric artery(MAO) occlusion accounts for majority of cases. Schoots et al. found that MAO accounted for 71 % of cases, only 12 % were due to MVT and the remaining 17 % were due to NOMI[2]. Isolated gangrene of gall bladder with sparing of bowel has been reported by Rajiv Jain Et al, however it was thrombosis involving the celiac axis and SMA, with an anomalous origin of Right Hepatic artery [3]. Different etiological forms of Acute mesenteric ischemia are: Arterial em¬bolism (EAMI), Arterial thrombosis (TAMI), Venous thrombo¬sis (VAMI) and Non-occlusive mesenteric ischemia (NOMI). Although they have different clinical and pathophysiological fea¬tures, they do not facilitate in early diagnosis [4]. The origin of celiac trunk ranges between the 11th thoracic and the first lum¬bar vertebra. Most common causes of celiac trunk stenosis are median arcuate ligament syndrome, pancreatitis, malignant local invasion, atherosclerosis and idiopathic [13].

Pathophysiology: An acute complete occlusion of an ar¬tery initially triggers a vascular spasm in the area of the ischemic bowel & results in hyperperistalsis and pain. At cellular level, en¬ergy loss with the formation of oxygen free radicals and the sub¬sequent disintegration of mucosal cells occurs. After 3-6 hours, the intestinal peristalsis ceases and deceptive interval without pain begins, which results from the ischemia of the intramural pain receptors. In the final stage the mucosal damage becomes irreversible. Along with the infiltration of the intestinal wall by inflammatory cells, bacterial translocation takes place, resulting in intestinal gangrene [4,11].

Twenty-five percent of the cardiac output goes to the splanchnic circulation at rest and increases to 35 % in the post¬prandial state. Seventy percent of mesenteric blood flows to the mucosa and submucosa [5]. Therefore, microscopic changes of ischemia can be detected within minutes [6]. The gut can there¬fore survive a 75 % reduction in blood flow for up to twelve hours without significant injury, irreversible bowel changes occurs within six hours of complete vascular occlusion [7].

### Diagnosis

Ischemia secondary to an arterial embolism should be suspected in patients with atrial fibrillation who have a sudden onset of abdominal pain. Ischemia resulting from arterial thrombosis (TAMI) should be suspected in patients with evidence of generalized atherosclerotic disease particularly with a recent his¬tory of post-prandial syndrome. Ischemia due to venous thrombosis (VAMI) should be suspected in patients with hypercoaguable states. Non-occlusive mesenteric ischemia (NOMI) should be suspected in critically ill patients with an unexpected deterioration in their clinical condition [4]. Computed tomography angiography (CTA) should be performed as soon as possible for any patient with suspicion for ab¬dominal artery steno-occlusive disease [8]. The diagnosis of gangre¬nous cholecystitis can be made with reasonable diagnostic accuracy when a markedly distended gallbladder with decreased gallbladder wall enhancement is seen on contrastenhanced CT [9]. Tests like leukocytosis, elevated amylase level, or the development of high an-ion gap metabolic acidosis, are suggestive rather than pathognomonvic of ischemia. D-dimer assay & time-dependent increase in its value has been found to increase as early as 30 minutes from the onset of intestinal ischemia or after ligation of the superior mesenteric artery in experimental animal models[10]. CT scanning is a diagnostic mo¬dality commonly utilized in patients who present with abdominal pain and has been reported to be sensitive in the diagnosis of abdom-inal artery occlusion. [10] Comprehensive biphasic CTA includes: a) Pre-contrast scans to detect vascular calcification, hyper-attenuating intravascular thrombus and intra- mural haemorrhage. b) Arterial and venous phases to demonstrate thrombus in the mesenteric ar-teries and veins, abnormal enhancement of the bowel wall, and the presence of embolism or infarction of other viscera. c) Multi-planar reconstructions (MPR) to assess the origin of the mesenteric arter¬ies [8]. Multi-detector CT Imaging Findings of ischemia Bowel wall thickening caused by oedema, haemorrhage, or associated infection, with target or halo appearance, more prominent in cases with venous

occlusion. A high-attenuating bowel wall at non-contrast CT is due to haemorrhagic infarction, however hyper attenuating bowel wall at contrastenhanced CT is due to congestion. Filling defects in the mesenteric arteries and veins indicate emboli or thrombi in the ves¬sels. The absence of wall enhancement indicates absence of arterial flow. Mesenteric stranding and ascites are caused by congestive or reperfusion mesenteric oedema. If these manifest in cases without mesenteric congestion or reperfusion, they may indicate bowel per¬foration. Pneumatosis, Porto mesenteric venous gas and free perito¬neal gas indicate transmural infarction of the bowel, with or without perforation [12].

#### Management

Fluid resuscitation immediately to enhance visceral perfusion. Electrolyte abnormalities should be corrected, and nasogastric drainage started. Broad-spectrum antibiotics should be administered immediately. Unless contraindicated, patients should be started on anticoagulation with intravenous unfractionated hep-arin. Prompt laparotomy should be done for patients with overt peritonitis [8]. The goal of surgical intervention includes re-estab-lishment blood supply to the ischemic bowel and other abdominal viscera, resection of all non-viable regions, preservation of all viable bowel /viscera. Endovascular revascularization procedures can be at¬tempted in partial arterial occlusion. Damage control surgery (DCS) is an important adjunct for patients who require intestinal resection and margins brought out of abdomen due to the necessity to reassess bowel viability and in patients with refractory sepsis. Diagnostic lap-aroscopy with planned relaparotomy is an essential part of manage¬ment [8]. ESTES guidelines recommend endovascular techniques as first line treatment when bowel integrity has not been compromised, the choice of vascular intervention will depend on available resources and expertise [4].

Table 1: Diagnostic and treatment algorithm in patients with suspected acute abdominal steno-occlusive disease



Gastroenterology International / Volume 4 Number 1-2 / January - December 2019

Prompt and goal-oriented diagnosis and consistent treatment within 4–6 h from the onset of symptoms can be decisive for the reduction of mortality[12].

#### Conclusion

Acute abdominal ischemic disease is a true emergency and in rare cases like this prompt emergencysurgical management in order to reduce morbidity and mortality.

#### References

- Raghavendra Nagaraja (2014). Acute Mesenteric Ischaemia – An Indian Perspective. [online] ResearchGate. Available at: https://www. researchgate.net/publication/260056054\_Acute\_ Mesenteric\_Ischaemia-An\_Indian\_Perspective [Accessed 6 Jan. 2021].
- Schoots, I.G., Koffeman, G.I., Legemate, D.A., Levi, M. and van Gulik, T.M. (2004). Systematic review of survival after acute mesenteric ischaemia according to disease aetiology. British Journal of Surgery, 91(1), pp.17–27.
- Rajiv Jain, Kiran Somani, Kolla Venkatesh, Abhishek Kansal, Rajesh Sharma. "Rare Case of Celiac Trunk and SMA Thrombosis Presenting as an Isolated Gangrenous Cholecystitis: A Surgical Surprise". Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences 2015; Vol. 4, Issue 91, November 12; Page: 15697-15699, DOI: 10.14260/jemds/2015/2260.
- Tilsed, J.V.T., Casamassima, A., Kurihara, H., Mariani, D., Martinez, I., Pereira, J., Ponchietti, L., Shamiyeh, A., Al-Ayoubi, F., Barco, L. a. B., Ceolin, M., D'Almeida, A.J.G., Hilario, S., Olavarria, A.L., Ozmen, M.M., Pinheiro, L.F., Poeze, M., Triantos, G., Fuentes, F.T., Sierra, S.U., Soreide, K. and Yanar, H. (2016). ESTES guidelines: acute mesenteric ischaemia. European Journal of Trauma and Emergency Surgery: Official Publication of the European Trauma Society, [online] 42(2), pp.253– 270. Available at: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/26820988/ [Accessed 6 Jan. 2021].

- Oldenburg, W.A., Lau, L.L., Rodenberg, T.J., Edmonds, H.J. and Burger, C.D. (2004). Acute Mesenteric Ischemia. Archives of Internal Medicine, [online] 164(10), p.1054. Available at:https://jamanetwork.com/journals/ jamainternalmedicine/fullarticle/217022.
- Robinson, J. W., Mirkovitch, V., Winistörfer, B., & Saegesser, F. (1981). Response of the intestinal mucosa to ischaemia. Gut, 22(6), 512–527. https:// doi.org/10.1136/gut.22.6.512
- Chiu C, McArdle AH, Brown R, Scott HJ, Gurd FN. Intestinal Mucosal Lesion in Low-Flow States: I. A Morphological, Hemodynamic, and Metabolic Reappraisal. Arch Surg. 1970;101(4):478–483. doi:10.1001/archsurg.1970.01340280030009
- Bala, M., Kashuk, J., Moore, E.E. et al. Acute mesenteric ischemia: guidelines of the World Society of Emergency Surgery. World J Emerg Surg 12, 38 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13017-017-0150-5
- Wei-Chou Chang, Yuxin Sun, En-Haw Wu, So Yeon Kim, Z. Jane Wang, Guo-Shu Huang, and Benjamin M. YehAmerican Journal of Roentgenology 2016 207:2, 302-309
- Kougias P, Lau D, El Sayed HF, Zhou W, Huynh TT, Lin PH. Determinants of mortality and treatment outcome following surgical interventions for acute mesenteric ischemia. J Vasc Surg. 2007 Sep;46(3):467-74. doi: 10.1016/j.jvs.2007.04.045. Epub 2007 Jul 30. PMID: 17681712.
- Luther, B., Mamopoulos, A., Lehmann, C. and Klar, E. (2018). The Ongoing Challenge of Acute Mesenteric Ischemia. Visceral Medicine, [online] 34(3), pp.215–221. Available at: https://www. karger.com/Article/FullText/490318 [Accessed 6 Jan. 2021].
- Kanasaki, S., Furukawa, A., Fumoto, K., Hamanaka, Y., Ota, S., Hirose, T., Inoue, A., Shirakawa, T., Nguyen, L.D.H. and Tulyeubai, S. (2018). Acute Mesenteric Ischemia: Multidetector CT Findings and Endovascular Management. RadioGraphics, 38(3), pp.945–961.
- Bacalbasa N, Balescu I, Brasoveanu V. Celiac Trunk Stenosis Treated by Resection and Splenic Patch Reconstruction - A Case Report and Literature Review. In Vivo. 2018 May-Jun;32(3):699-702. doi: 10.21873/invivo.11296. PMID: 29695581; PMCID: PMC6000790.

#### \*\*\*\*\*

# **REDKART.NET**

(A product of RF Library Services (P) Limited) (Publications available for purchase: Journals, Books, Articles and Single issues) (Date range: 1967 to till date)

The Red Kart is an e-commerce and is a product of RF Library Services (P) Ltd. It covers a broad range of journals, Books, Articles, Single issues (print & Online-PDF) in English and Hindi languages. All these publications are in stock for immediate shipping and online access in case of online.

Benefits of shopping online are better than conventional way of buying.

- 1. Convenience.
- 2. Better prices.
- 3. More variety.
- 4. Fewer expenses.
- 5. No crowds.
- 6. Less compulsive shopping.
- 7. Buying old or unused items at lower prices.
- 8. Discreet purchases are easier.

URL: www.redkart.net

# Subject Index

Title	Page No
Cytomegalovirus in Inflammatory Bowel Disease - Clinical Relevance.	5
Extra Pulmonary Manifestation of COVID 19 i.e., Gastrointestinal Symptoms in COVID 19 Received in OPD/IPD of Chugh Multispecialty Hospital – A single Centric Study.	21

Acute biliary peritonitis - a rare case report of isolated gall bladder gangrene secondary to coeliac artery thrombus	23

# Author Index

Name	Page No
G. R. Srinivas Rao	5
Mayank Chugh	21
Merin Mary	23
Ramesh Reddy G	23
SatenderTanwar	21
Shwetha R Chandra	5
Vinay H G	23
Viswanath Reddy Donapati	23
Y Rami Reddy	5

Manuscripts must be prepared in accordance with "Uniform requirements for Manuscripts submitted to Biomedical Journal" developed by international committee of medical Journal Editors

#### **Types of Manuscripts and Limits**

Original articles: Up to 3000 words excluding references and abstract and up to 10 references.

Review articles: Up to 2500 words excluding references and abstract and up to 10 references.

Case reports: Up to 1000 words excluding references and abstract and up to 10 references.

#### **Online Submission of the Manuscripts**

Articles can also be submitted online from http:// rfppl.co.in/customer\_index.php.

I) First Page File: Prepare the title page, covering letter, acknowledgement, etc. using a word processor program. All information which can reveal your identity should be here. use text/rtf/doc/PDF files. Do not zip the files.

2) Article file: The main text of the article, beginning from Abstract till References (including tables) should be in this file. Do not include any information (such as acknowledgement, your name in page headers, etc.) in this file. Use text/rtf/doc/PDF files. Do not zip the files. Limit the file size to 400 Kb. Do not incorporate images in the file. If file size is large, graphs can be submitted as images separately without incorporating them in the article file to reduce the size of the file.

3) Images: Submit good quality color images. Each image should be less than 100 Kb in size. Size of the image can be reduced by decreasing the actual height and width of the images (keep up to 400 pixels or 3 inches). All image formats (jpeg, tiff, gif, bmp, png, eps etc.) are acceptable; jpeg is most suitable.

Legends: Legends for the figures/images should be included at the end of the article file.

If the manuscript is submitted online, the contributors' form and copyright transfer form has to be submitted in original with the signatures of all the contributors within two weeks from submission. Hard copies of the images (3 sets), for articles submitted online, should be sent to the journal office at the time of submission of a revised manuscript. Editorial office: Red Flower Publication Pvt. Ltd., 48/41-42, DSIDC, Pocket-II, Mayur Vihar Phase-I, Delhi – 110 091, India, Phone: 91-11-22754205, 45796900, 22756995. E-mail: author@rfppl.co.in. Submission page: http://rfppl. co.in/article\_submission\_system.php?mid=5.

#### Preparation of the Manuscript

The text of observational and experimental articles should be divided into sections with the headings: Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion, References, Tables, Figures, Figure legends, and Acknowledgment. Do not make subheadings in these sections.

#### **Title Page**

The title page should carry

- 1) Type of manuscript (e.g. Original article, Review article, Case Report)
- The title of the article, should be concise and informative;
- 3) Running title or short title not more than 50 characters;
- The name by which each contributor is known (Last name, First name and initials of middle name), with his or her highest academic degree(s) and institutional affiliation;
- The name of the department(s) and institution(s) to which the work should be attributed;
- 6) The name, address, phone numbers, facsimile numbers and e-mail address of the contributor responsible for correspondence about the manuscript; should be mentoined.
- The total number of pages, total number of photographs and word counts separately for abstract and for the text (excluding the references and abstract);
- 8) Source(s) of support in the form of grants, equipment, drugs, or all of these;
- 9) Acknowledgement, if any; and
- If the manuscript was presented as part at a meeting, the organization, place, and exact date on which it was read.

#### Abstract Page

The second page should carry the full title of the manuscript and an abstract (of no more than 150 words for case reports, brief reports and 250 words for original articles). The abstract should be structured and state the Context (Background), Aims, Settings and Design, Methods and Materials, Statistical analysis used, Results and Conclusions. Below the abstract should provide 3 to 10 keywords.

Gastroenterology International / Volume 4 Number 1-2 / January - December 2019

#### Introduction

State the background of the study and purpose of the study and summarize the rationale for the study or observation.

#### Methods

The methods section should include only information that was available at the time the plan or protocol for the study was written such as study approach, design, type of sample, sample size, sampling technique, setting of the study, description of data collection tools and methods; all information obtained during the conduct of the study belongs in the Results section.

Reports of randomized clinical trials should be based on the CONSORT Statement (http:// www. consort-statement. org). When reporting experiments on human subjects, indicate whether the procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional or regional) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2000 (available at http://www.wma. net/e/policy/17-c\_e.html).

#### Results

Present your results in logical sequence in the text, tables, and illustrations, giving the main or most important findings first. Do not repeat in the text all the data in the tables or illustrations; emphasize or summarize only important observations. Extra or supplementary materials and technical details can be placed in an appendix where it will be accessible but will not interrupt the flow of the text; alternatively, it can be published only in the electronic version of the journal.

#### Discussion

Include summary of key findings (primary outcome measures, secondary outcome measures, results as they relate to a prior hypothesis); Strengths and limitations of the study (study question, study design, data collection, analysis and interpretation); Interpretation and implications in the context of the totality of evidence (is there a systematic review to refer to, if not, could one be reasonably done here and now?, What this study adds to the available evidence, effects on patient care and health policy, possible mechanisms)? Controversies raised by this study; and Future research directions (for this particular research collaboration, underlying mechanisms, clinical research). Do not repeat in detail data or other material given in the Introduction or the Results section.

#### References

List references in alphabetical order. Each listed reference should be cited in text (not in alphabetic order), and each text citation should be listed in the References section. Identify references in text, tables, and legends by Arabic numerals in square bracket (e.g. [10]). Please refer to ICMJE Guidelines (http://www.nlm.nih.gov/bsd/uniform\_requirements.html) for more examples.

#### Standard journal article

[1] Flink H, Tegelberg Å, Thörn M, Lagerlöf F. Effect of oral iron supplementation on unstimulated salivary flow rate: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. J Oral Pathol Med 2006; 35: 540-7.

[2] Twetman S, Axelsson S, Dahlgren H, Holm AK, Källestål C, Lagerlöf F, et al. Caries-preventive effect of fluoride toothpaste: A systematic review. Acta Odontol Scand 2003; 61: 347-55.

#### Article in supplement or special issue

[3] Fleischer W, Reimer K. Povidone iodine antisepsis. State of the art. Dermatology 1997; 195 Suppl 2: 3-9.

#### Corporate (collective) author

[4] American Academy of Periodontology. Sonic and ultrasonic scalers in periodontics. J Periodontol 2000; 71: 1792-801.

#### **Unpublished article**

[5] Garoushi S, Lassila LV, Tezvergil A, Vallittu PK. Static and fatigue compression test for particulate filler composite resin with fiber-reinforced composite substructure. Dent Mater 2006.

#### Personal author(s)

[6] Hosmer D, Lemeshow S. Applied logistic regression, 2nd edn. New York: Wiley-Interscience; 2000.

#### Chapter in book

[7] Nauntofte B, Tenovuo J, Lagerlöf F. Secretion and composition of saliva. In: Fejerskov O,

Kidd EAM, editors. Dental caries: The disease and its clinical management. Oxford: Blackwell Munksgaard; 2003. p. 7-27.

#### No author given

[8] World Health Organization. Oral health surveys - basic methods, 4th edn. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1997.

#### Reference from electronic media

[9] National Statistics Online – Trends in suicide by method in England and Wales, 1979-2001. www. statistics.gov.uk/downloads/theme\_health/HSQ 20.pdf (accessed Jan 24, 2005): 7-18. Only verified references against the original documents should be cited. Authors are responsible for the accuracy and completeness of their references and for correct text citation. The number of reference should be kept limited to 20 in case of major communications and 10 for short communications.

More information about other reference types is available at www.nlm.nih.gov/bsd/uniform\_ requirements.html, but observes some minor deviations (no full stop after journal title, no issue or date after volume, etc).

# Tables

Tables should be self-explanatory and should not duplicate textual material.

Tables with more than 10 columns and 25 rows are not acceptable.

Table numbers should be in Arabic numerals, consecutively in the order of their first citation in the text and supply a brief title for each.

Explain in footnotes all non-standard abbreviations that are used in each table.

For footnotes use the following symbols, in this sequence: \*,  $\P$ , †, ‡‡,

# **Illustrations (Figures)**

Graphics files are welcome if supplied as Tiff, EPS, or PowerPoint files of minimum 1200x1600 pixel size. The minimum line weight for line art is 0.5 point for optimal printing.

When possible, please place symbol legends below the figure instead of to the side.

Original color figures can be printed in color at the editor's and publisher's discretion provided the author agrees to pay. Type or print out legends (maximum 40 words, excluding the credit line) for illustrations using double spacing, with Arabic numerals corresponding to the illustrations.

### Sending a revised manuscript

While submitting a revised manuscript, contributors are requested to include, along with single copy of the final revised manuscript, a photocopy of the revised manuscript with the changes underlined in red and copy of the comments with the point to point clarification to each comment. The manuscript number should be written on each of these documents. If the manuscript is submitted online, the contributors' form and copyright transfer form has to be submitted in original with the signatures of all the contributors within two weeks of submission. Hard copies of images should be sent to the office of the journal. There is no need to send printed manuscript for articles submitted online.

#### Reprints

Journal provides no free printed reprints, however a author copy is sent to the main author and additional copies are available on payment (ask to the journal office).

# Copyrights

The whole of the literary matter in the journal is copyright and cannot be reproduced without the written permission.

# Declaration

A declaration should be submitted stating that the manuscript represents valid work and that neither this manuscript nor one with substantially similar content under the present authorship has been published or is being considered for publication elsewhere and the authorship of this article will not be contested by any one whose name (s) is/are not listed here, and that the order of authorship as placed in the manuscript is final and accepted by the co-authors. Declarations should be signed by all the authors in the order in which they are mentioned in the original manuscript. Matters appearing in the Journal are covered by copyright but no objection will be made to their reproduction provided permission is obtained from the Editor prior to publication and due acknowledgment of the source is made.

Gastroenterology International / Volume 4 Number 1-2 / January - December 2019

# **Approval of Ethics Committee**

We need the Ethics committee approval letter from an Institutional ethical committee (IEC) or an institutional review board (IRB) to publish your Research article or author should submit a statement that the study does not require ethics approval along with evidence. The evidence could either be consent from patients is available and there are no ethics issues in the paper or a letter from an IRB stating that the study in question does not require ethics approval.

# Abbreviations

Standard abbreviations should be used and be spelt out when first used in the text. Abbreviations should not be used in the title or abstract.

# Checklist

- Manuscript Title
- Covering letter: Signed by all contributors
- Previous publication/ presentations mentioned, Source of funding mentioned
- Conflicts of interest disclosed

# Authors

- Middle name initials provided.
- Author for correspondence, with e-mail address provided.
- Number of contributors restricted as per the instructions.
- Identity not revealed in paper except title page (e.g.name of the institute in Methods, citing previous study as 'our study')

# Presentation and Format

- Double spacing
- Margins 2.5 cm from all four sides
- Title page contains all the desired information. Running title provided (not more than 50 characters)
- Abstract page contains the full title of the manuscript
- Abstract provided: Structured abstract provided for an original article.
- Key words provided (three or more)
- Introduction of 75-100 words
- Headings in title case (not ALL CAPITALS).

References cited in square brackets

• References according to the journal's instructions

# Language and grammar

- Uniformly American English
- Abbreviations spelt out in full for the first time. Numerals from 1 to 10 spelt out
- Numerals at the beginning of the sentence spelt out

# **Tables and figures**

- No repetition of data in tables and graphs and in text.
- Actual numbers from which graphs drawn, provided.
- Figures necessary and of good quality (color)
- Table and figure numbers in Arabic letters (not Roman).
- Labels pasted on back of the photographs (no names written)
- Figure legends provided (not more than 40 words)
- Patients' privacy maintained, (if not permission taken)
- Credit note for borrowed figures/tables provided
- Manuscript provided on a CDROM (with double spacing)

# Submitting the Manuscript

- Is the journal editor's contact information current?
- Is the cover letter included with the manuscript? Does the letter:
- 1. Include the author's postal address, e-mail address, telephone number, and fax number for future correspondence?
- 2. State that the manuscript is original, not previously published, and not under concurrent consideration elsewhere?
- 3. Inform the journal editor of the existence of any similar published manuscripts written by the author?
- 4. Mention any supplemental material you are submitting for the online version of your article. Contributors' Form (to be modified as applicable and one signed copy attached with the manuscript)