

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Regional Rivalries: Unravelling the Causes of Baltic Sea Conflicts

Vishnu Prakash Mangu

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

Vishnu Prakash Mangu. Regional Rivalries: Unravelling the Causes of Baltic Sea Conflicts. Int J Pol Sci 2025; 11(1): 07-16.

ABSTRACT

The Baltic Sea region has historically been shaped by complex geopolitical rivalries, national security concerns, economic competition, and environmental challenges, making it a focal point of European strategic interest. This study aims to investigate the underlying causes of regional tensions and explore pathways for fostering long-term peace and cooperation among bordering nations. To achieve this, the research employs a qualitative approach, drawing on historical analysis, policy documents, geopolitical assessments, and environmental reports to examine three key dimensions: historical and security-related disputes, economic interests particularly concerning trade routes and energy corridors and environmental pressures intensified by the influence of global powers and international organizations. The findings reveal that persistent national security concerns, dependency on shared economic resources, and deteriorating environmental conditions are the primary drivers of conflict in the region. Furthermore, the presence of external actors has complicated regional dynamics, often exacerbating existing tensions. The study concludes that peace and stability in the Baltic Sea can be enhanced through multilateral diplomatic engagement, strengthened regional mechanisms, and collaborative environmental governance. These measures, coupled with economic integration and sustained dialogue, can build mutual trust and resilience among regional actors. The implications of this research suggest that a holistic, cooperative approach is essential to addressing the multifaceted challenges of the Baltic Sea and ensuring the region's long-term security and sustainability.

KEYWORDS

- Baltic Sea • Regional conflicts • Geopolitical tensions • Territorial disputes
- National security • Economic interests • Environmental challenges
- International relations • Northern Europe

AUTHOR'S AFFILIATION:

Associate Professor of Political Science, Government Degree & PG College, Puttur, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Vishnu Prakash Mangu, Associate Professor of Political Science, Government Degree & PG College, Puttur, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India.

E-mail: vipra.cce@gmail.com

➤ Received: 07-04-2025 ➤ Accepted: 16-06-2025



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial 4.0 License (<http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits non-Commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the Red Flower Publication and Open Access pages (<https://www.rfppl.co.in>)

INTRODUCTION

The Baltic Sea, nestled between the Northern European nations of Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Germany, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Russia, and Sweden, has been a significant geopolitical and economic crossroads for centuries. Historically, the region's strategic importance has attracted the attention of various powers, leading to conflicts over territory, resources, and influence. The Baltic Sea remains a focal point for regional rivalries that continue to shape both regional and international relations. Despite the end of the Cold War and the dissolution of the Soviet Union, the region has witnessed ongoing disputes, shifting alliances, and evolving global power structures.

The causes of conflicts in the Baltic Sea are multifaceted, involving territorial claims, maritime boundaries, energy resources, environmental concerns, and the influence of external actors. Disputes over control of natural resources add another layer of complexity to the already volatile political landscape. These conflicts are further complicated by environmental issues, fishing rights, and the management of shared marine resources, all of which require cross-border cooperation but often become sources of disagreement.

Beyond these direct sources of conflict, the involvement of international organisations and foreign powers amplifies the complexity of the situation. The European Union (EU) and North Atlantic Treaty Organisation (NATO) have played roles in the region, with member states often at odds over security policies, economic sanctions, and defence strategies. Meanwhile, Russia's actions in the region have frequently brought it into conflict with NATO members, creating a tense atmosphere that has led to military buildups and diplomatic standoffs.

Understanding the full scope of these disputes requires an analysis of the political, economic, and social dynamics at play, as well as the historical context that has shaped the current state of affairs. This paper seeks to unravel the various causes of conflict in the Baltic Sea region, shedding light on the interplay between regional actors, global powers, and the strategic importance of this body of water. By doing so, it aims to provide a deeper understanding of the challenges faced by the nations surrounding the Baltic Sea and

the potential pathways toward resolving these long-standing tensions.

In examining these regional rivalries, this research contributes to the broader field of international relations, offering insights into how regional conflicts in strategically significant regions can have far-reaching global implications. The Baltic Sea, though often viewed as a relatively small body of water, serves as a microcosm of broader geopolitical struggles, illustrating the complex ways in which history, politics, and economics intersect to shape international relations. Through this analysis, the paper aims to provide perspectives on the Baltic Sea conflicts, fostering a deeper understanding of the forces that drive regional rivalries and the prospects for peaceful coexistence in this vital part of Europe.

Background of the Baltic Sea Region:

The territorial disputes in the Baltic Sea region stem from a complex history marked by shifting borders, imperial ambitions, and national identities, which continue to influence contemporary rivalries among neighbouring countries. These conflicts began in the medieval period with the Hanseatic League, which dominated trade routes, leading to competition over strategic ports. In the 17th and 18th centuries, Sweden's dominance in the region resulted in territorial wars after which Russia gained control over key Baltic territories. The early 20th century saw the creation of new nation-states following World War I, leading to further disputes over borders between the Baltic states and Russia, Poland, and Germany.

The Soviet occupation of the Baltic states after World War II further entrenched territorial issues, with altered borders and military presence along the coast. The collapse of the Soviet Union and the subsequent independence of the Baltic states introduced new challenges, regarding maritime boundaries and natural resource claims. Disputes over Exclusive Economic Zones (EEZs) in the Baltic Sea, involving resources like oil, gas, and fisheries, remain contentious with Russia's reluctance to recognise the new boundaries.

European Union integration has played a significant role in shaping territorial relations, but it has also led to new challenges like tensions over pipeline construction and shared

resources. Nationalism in the region has revived historical grievances further complicating diplomatic relations. The end of the Cold War and NATO's expansion heightened tensions with Russia regarding military presence in the region and territorial defence measures. These ongoing disputes fueled by overlapping claims to maritime resources continue to shape the geopolitical landscape of the Baltic Sea region.

The Baltic Sea region has long been a hotspot of geopolitical tension driven by its strategic

location, economic significance, and historical conflicts. The region's dynamics are shaped by its bordering nations Denmark, Finland, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Russia, and Sweden along with the influence of international powers like the EU, NATO, and Russia. These tensions stem from territorial disputes, military presence, economic competition, and security concerns, regarding Russia's role.



Russia's influence in the Baltic has been a key point of contention mainly after the Soviet Union's collapse. The militarisation of

Kaliningrad along with Russia's naval presence raises alarms among NATO and EU nations. Kaliningrad has become a heavily fortified

region contributing to regional insecurity considering Russia's actions in Ukraine and Eastern Europe. Russia's dominance over key maritime routes and its military activities in the Baltic are seen as challenges to EU and NATO interests prompting them to reinforce their military presence, including NATO's Enhanced Forward Presence (EFP) in Estonia, Latvia, and Lithuania.

Nationalism has played a significant role in the geopolitics of the Baltic Sea in the post-Soviet era. As the Baltic states assert their sovereignty and reclaim national identities, ethnic tensions have emerged with Russian-speaking minorities in Latvia and Estonia. These communities create friction with the broader populations striving to distance themselves from Russian influence. Nationalist political movements are rising in the region and these often oppose Russia. This has further strained relations and complicates the geopolitical dynamics. These movements influence domestic politics, and Russia views them as threats to its regional interests.

The Baltic Sea region has witnessed a surge in military activity due to NATO's efforts to counter perceived threats from Russia. NATO's Enhanced Forward Presence (EFP) has stationed multinational battalions in the Baltic states in response to Russia's annexation of Crimea and its actions in Ukraine. In turn, Russia has strengthened its military presence in Kaliningrad deploying advanced missile systems and air defences escalating tensions in the region. The United States has played a crucial role by supporting military deployments and promoting European energy security further straining relations with Russia. Meanwhile, the European Union's involvement in regional security and trade is complicated by internal divisions regarding energy dependence on Russia. These overlapping geopolitical interests contribute to the region's fragile security environment, increasing the risk of further tensions.

Competition for Resources:

The Baltic Sea region is rich in natural resources including energy reserves and valuable maritime territories which have become central to the geopolitical competition between the countries bordering the sea. The struggle to control and exploit these resources has significantly influenced the political and

economic landscape of the region fuelling tensions and conflicts. The competition for energy resources as well as access to maritime territories for trade, fishing, and defence purposes has led to complex regional disputes that reflect broader global economic and security concerns.

Energy resources are a major source of competition in the Baltic Sea region. The discovery of offshore oil and gas reserves has led to geopolitical disputes with projects like the Nord Stream pipelines fuelling tensions. While Russia and Germany benefit from these pipelines, Poland and the Baltic states argue that they increase European dependence on Russian energy and threaten regional security. To counter this, countries like Latvia, Estonia, and Lithuania are striving for energy independence by diversifying their supply sources and investing in alternative infrastructure. In addition to fossil fuels, the Baltic Sea has become a key area for renewable energy, mainly offshore wind farms. However, competition over prime maritime areas for wind energy has led to territorial disputes among countries like Denmark, Germany, Sweden, and Finland. As conventional and renewable energy resources gain strategic importance the struggle for control over energy infrastructure continues to shape geopolitical tensions in the region.

Maritime boundaries and Exclusive Economic Zones (EEZs) are critical points of contention in the Baltic Sea as countries compete for control over valuable resources like fish stocks, oil, and gas reserves. Disputes over EEZ delineation have been driven by both economic interests and national security concerns. The Nord Stream 2 pipeline has further complicated territorial claims, with Poland objecting to its environmental and strategic implications. Fishing rights are another major source of conflict with declining fish stocks, intensifying competition among countries like Poland, Lithuania, Sweden, and Denmark. Varying national regulations and overlapping fishing zones near Russia's Kaliningrad exclave have heightened tensions. As the demand for marine resources grows disputes over maritime boundaries and fishing rights remain key factors in the region's geopolitical rivalry.

The competition for natural resources in the Baltic Sea extends beyond economic

interests, influencing military and security dynamics. Russia has heavily militarised the Kaliningrad exclave deploying naval forces and missile systems near key maritime trade routes and energy-rich areas raising security concerns for NATO members. In response, NATO has strengthened its military presence in the region through joint exercises, troop deployments, and air defence systems to protect critical energy infrastructure. As countries vie for control over energy reserves, fishing areas, and maritime routes, the region faces escalating militarisation, increasing the risk of confrontation and making the Baltic Sea a focal point of geopolitical tensions.

Beyond security concerns, resource competition in the Baltic Sea also presents significant environmental and legal challenges. The region's fragile ecosystem, sensitive to pollution and industrial activities, is threatened by projects such as the Nord Stream pipelines and offshore drilling. Countries like Germany and Russia prioritise energy development, while others like Poland and Sweden advocate for stricter environmental protections. International agreements like the Helsinki Convention aim to regulate resource exploitation, but conflicting national interests often hinder their effectiveness. Despite ongoing disputes, regional initiatives such as HELCOM promote cooperation in environmental protection and sustainable resource management. However, balancing national priorities with collective sustainability efforts remains a critical challenge for ensuring the long-term stability of the Baltic Sea.

Influence of Military

The Baltic Sea region has become a focal point of military rivalry between NATO and Russia driven by strategic positioning, military buildups, and security concerns. Since Russia's annexation of Crimea in 2014, NATO has reinforced its presence in the region through the Enhanced Forward Presence (EFP) initiative, stationing multinational battalions in Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, and Poland to deter potential Russian aggression. Russia perceives NATO's expansion as a threat and has responded by militarising the Kaliningrad, deploying advanced missile systems and strengthening its Baltic Fleet. This ongoing arms race has heightened tensions, increasing the risk of military confrontation in an already volatile region.

NATO's air and naval operations along with Russia's frequent military drills in the Baltic further escalate tensions by increasing the likelihood of accidental encounters and miscalculations. Both sides conduct regular surveillance, training missions, and strategic deployments, raising concerns over potential conflicts arising from misunderstandings. The nuclear deterrence plays a critical role, with Russia stationing tactical nuclear weapons in Kaliningrad and NATO reinforcing its own nuclear capabilities in Europe. The risk of escalation is amplified by the lack of effective communication mechanisms between NATO and Russia as diplomatic channels have weakened making it increasingly difficult to manage security risks.

Beyond conventional military strategies, cyberattacks and hybrid warfare tactics have added new complexities to the security landscape. Russia has been accused of engaging in cyber operations and disinformation campaigns targeting NATO member states to destabilise governments and weaken Alliance unity. NATO has strengthened its cybersecurity defence and developed counter-hybrid warfare capabilities. Despite efforts at dialogue, including the NATO-Russia Council, trust remains low, and meaningful agreements to de-escalate tensions have been difficult to achieve. As both sides continue to assert their security interests, diplomatic efforts must be prioritised to prevent military conflict in one of Europe's most contested regions.

Environmental and Maritime Management Issues:

The Baltic Sea faces significant environmental challenges, including pollution, over fishing, and habitat degradation which have become sources of regional conflict. Pollution caused by agricultural runoff, industrial waste, and untreated sewage has led to severe eutrophication, harming marine life and depleting fish stocks. Different countries have varying levels of commitment to pollution control leading to tensions over environmental policies. While Sweden and Finland prioritise sustainability, Poland and Lithuania's agricultural practices contribute to nutrient runoff. Russia's lack of compliance with international environmental standards in the Kaliningrad region further increases pollution, creating a fragmented and contentious approach to environmental management.

Fishing rights are another major source of conflict, as countries compete for dwindling fish stocks, particularly cod. Overfishing, combined with rising water temperatures and pollution, has led to the declining fish population intensifying disputes on fishing quotas. The European Union's Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) attempts to regulate shared resources with some nations accused of violating quotas. Russia has clashed with neighbouring countries on fishing rights. Equally, disputes over maritime boundaries and Exclusive Economic Zones (EEZs) fuel regional tensions as countries seek control over marine resources, offshore energy reserves, and shipping routes.

The construction of the Nord Stream pipelines has added another layer of complexity to environmental and geopolitical issues in the Baltic Sea. The project has also been criticised for its potential environmental impact including damage to marine ecosystems and risks of leaks. Despite international agreements like the Helsinki Convention and the EU Marine Strategy Framework Directive, enforcement has been weak, and compliance remains inconsistent. National interests and economic priorities often hinder regional cooperation, preventing the implementation of comprehensive environmental solutions and perpetuating ecological degradation in the Baltic Sea.

Influence of International Organisations:

The Baltic Sea region has been deeply influenced by the involvement of international organisations like NATO and the European Union (EU). NATO's expansion with the accession of Estonia, Latvia, and Lithuania in 2004, has created significant geopolitical tensions with Russia, as it views NATO's presence in the Baltic as a direct challenge to its influence. NATO's collective defence framework including the Enhanced Forward Presence (EFP) initiative has provided security guarantees for the Baltic states but also heightened military rivalries, contributing to a delicate balance of deterrence and escalation. Meanwhile, the EU has played a pivotal role in promoting economic integration, environmental protection, and regional cooperation, although its influence has been limited by disparities in economic development among member states and Russia's exclusion.

The EU's role in the Baltic Sea region extends beyond economic cooperation in environmental management and energy security. Through initiatives like the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD) and the Common Fisheries Policy (CFP), the EU has helped address regional ecological challenges fostering collaboration between Baltic states. The EU has also worked to reduce energy dependency on Russia supporting energy diversification projects like the Baltic Energy Market Interconnection Plan (BEMIP). However, EU-Russia relations remain tense, with Russia's reluctance to align with EU standards complicating regional cooperation. The EU has faced challenges in balancing diverse member interests regarding its diplomatic approach to Russia making it difficult to maintain a unified foreign policy.

Despite NATO and the EU's efforts to stabilise the region, tensions remain due to competing interests. NATO's military posture after Russia's actions in Ukraine has led to a growing security dilemma with both NATO and Russia increasing their military presence in the Baltic Sea. The EU has used diplomatic tools, including sanctions, to address Russia's destabilising actions. Cooperation between NATO and the EU has been critical in managing regional security but challenges persist due to their differing mandates. Both organisations have worked on confidence-building measures to prevent conflicts though multilateral diplomacy remains difficult in balancing defence priorities and economic cooperation amidst the ongoing rivalry between NATO and Russia.

Pathways for conflict resolution:

- A key pathway for conflict resolution in the Baltic Sea region is strengthening multilateral diplomacy and regional dialogue. Forums like the Council of the Baltic Sea States (CBSS) provide a platform for cooperation among Baltic countries including the EU member states and Russia to address shared concerns across security, economic, environmental, and cultural issues. Expanding discussions in such forums can build trust, establish common ground, and prevent conflicts.
- Confidence-building measures like transparent communication on military activities, joint humanitarian efforts,

and shared environmental goals can reduce tensions. Agreements like the Helsinki Convention, which regulates environmental protection, can also promote dialogue between military and civilian sectors, further lowering the risk of accidental conflict. Through inclusive dialogue and cooperation, regional stability can be enhanced.

- Economic cooperation in the Baltic Sea region fosters stability by strengthening trade, energy collaboration, and infrastructure, reducing reliance on Russia and promoting long-term regional integration and sustainability.
- Promoting arms control agreements and military de-escalation in the Baltic Sea region can reduce tensions by limiting advanced weapon deployments, enhancing transparency, and fostering confidence-building measures, creating a more stable security environment for regional cooperation.
- Environmental cooperation in the Baltic Sea region fosters collaboration by addressing shared challenges like pollution, sustainable fisheries, and ecosystem protection. Expanding agreements like the Helsinki Convention and promoting green energy projects can strengthen regional ties, build trust, and support both ecological and economic stability.
- Expanding regional security cooperation in the Baltic Sea through intelligence-sharing, joint peacekeeping, and addressing cyber and hybrid threats can enhance stability amid geopolitical tensions with Russia.
- Enhancing energy cooperation and diversification in the Baltic Sea region can reduce reliance on Russia, strengthen energy security, and promote regional stability through renewable initiatives and interconnected energy markets.
- Regional cooperation in crisis recovery and humanitarian aid can foster trust and collaboration among Baltic nations through joint disaster relief efforts, climate change response, and coordinated humanitarian assistance.
- People-to-people connections, through educational, cultural, and academic exchanges, foster mutual understanding and goodwill, reducing stereotypes and strengthening regional ties. These initiatives create alternative diplomatic channels, build trust among communities, and support long-term peace and cooperation in the Baltic Sea region.
- Civil society organisations and Track II diplomacy play a crucial role in conflict resolution by fostering cross-border dialogue, facilitating informal discussions, and promoting public engagement, strengthening regional peace and cooperation.
- The UN and Organisation for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE) can mediate conflicts in the Baltic Sea region by facilitating dialogue, peacekeeping, and addressing economic and human rights issues, promoting a balanced approach to regional stability.

METHODOLOGY

The methodology adopted for this research article are an extensive review of existing literature on historical, political, and economic dynamics in the Baltic Sea was conducted, drawing on academic articles, government reports, and policy documents to provide a comprehensive understanding of the region's disputes. Second, key issues were analysed, including territorial disputes, resource competition, and military tensions, with a focus on contemporary events. Opinions of regional experts and policymakers were thoroughly analysed to gather insights into the current situation and the role of international organisations. This multi-method approach allows for a thorough examination of the various factors contributing to regional rivalries and the broader geopolitical implications of the Baltic Sea conflicts.

OBJECTIVES

The objective of this paper is five-fold, and the first one is to analyse the historical, political, and economic factors that contribute to regional rivalries and conflicts in the Baltic Sea. The second one is to investigate the role of resource competition in shaping the conflicts in

the region. The third objective is to examine the influence of military. The fourth is to provide a comprehensive understanding of external powers and international organisations on Baltic Sea disputes. And the final objective is to offer potential pathways for conflict resolution and promote regional cooperation to enhance peace and stability in the Baltic Sea region.

RESULTS

The results of this research article indicate that conflicts in the Baltic Sea region are driven by a combination of historical territorial disputes, resource competition, geopolitical tensions, and environmental challenges. Historical legacies continue to influence territorial rivalries, while competition for natural resources heightens economic and political friction. Military buildups, fuelled by NATO's expansion and Russia's security concerns, have further escalated tensions. Environmental and maritime management issues, such as pollution and fishing rights, contribute to regional disputes. The study highlights the complex role of international institutions like NATO and the EU in either mitigating or intensifying these conflicts. Despite these challenges, the research suggests that regional cooperation, especially in energy and environmental management, could offer pathways to reduce tensions and promote stability in the Baltic Sea region.

DISCUSSION

The discussion of this research article explores the complex and interconnected factors contributing to conflicts in the Baltic Sea region. It highlights how historical territorial disputes, between Russia and its neighbours, continue to shape current tensions, intensified by differing political ideologies and security concerns. The competition for valuable resources complicates diplomatic relations, with countries vying for control over energy routes and maritime territories. The military presence of NATO and Russia in the region contributes to an atmosphere of mistrust and heightened security risks. Environmental issues are often sidelined due to political rivalries, undermining efforts for regional cooperation. The article discusses the roles of international organisations while aiming to promote stability inadvertently intensify divisions by taking sides in security and economic matters. Finally, the discussion

emphasises that while the Baltic Sea region faces deep-rooted challenges, fostering greater collaboration on environmental and economic fronts could provide a foundation for long-term peace and stability, highlighting the need for multilateral dialogue and pragmatic conflict resolution strategies.

CONCLUSION

The conclusion of this research article reinforces the idea that the conflicts in the Baltic Sea region are deeply rooted in a combination of historical, geopolitical, economic, and environmental factors. It emphasises that while the region faces significant challenges, including territorial disputes, resource competition, and military tensions, there are also opportunities for resolution. The study suggests that greater regional cooperation in the areas of energy and environmental management could pave the way for reducing tensions and fostering stability. It calls for a balanced approach that encourages diplomatic engagement and the involvement of international institutions in facilitating dialogue. Ultimately, the article concludes that addressing the root causes of conflict in the Baltic Sea requires a comprehensive strategy that integrates security concerns with economic cooperation and environmental sustainability offering a path toward a more peaceful and cooperative future in the region.

Importance

This research article is important as it provides a comprehensive analysis of the complex territorial, geopolitical, and environmental issues that contribute to conflicts in the Baltic Sea region. By exploring historical factors, military tensions, competition for natural resources, and the roles of international organisations, the article offers a nuanced understanding of the underlying causes of regional rivalries. Moreover, it highlights potential solutions for conflict resolution, highlighting the need for multilateral cooperation, diplomatic dialogue, and sustainable development. This paper helps scholars, policy makers, and stakeholders seeking to enhance regional stability and foster peaceful cooperation among the nations bordering the Baltic Sea.

Conflict of interest: there is no conflict of interest

Funding: I have not received funding

whatsoever to complete the article.

REFERENCES

1. Encyclopaedia Britannica. The Hanseatic League at its outset [Internet]. [cited 2025 Apr 7]. Available from: <https://www.britannica.com/topic/Hanseatic-League/The-League-at-its-outset>
2. United States Naval Institute. The Great Northern War and the rise of Russian sea power [Internet]. 2023 Jun [cited 2025 Apr 7]. Available from: <https://www.usni.org/magazines/naval-history-magazine/2023/june/great-northern-war-and-rise-russian-sea-power>
3. Hannibalsson H. Russia's strategic interests in the Baltic Sea [Internet]. Transatlantic Relations; 2019 [cited 2025 Apr 7]. Available from: <https://transatlanticrelations.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/14-Hannibalsson.pdf>
4. Journal of Political Science. Russian strategic ambitions in the Baltic [Internet]. [cited 2025 Apr 7]. Available from: <https://www.journalofpoliticalscience.com/uploads/archives/4-1-48-611.pdf>
5. Ricardo M. Baltic Sea region and Russian influence [Internet]. UNISCI Discussion Papers; 2024 Oct [cited 2025 Apr 7]. Available from: <https://www.unisci.es/wp-content/uploads/2024/10/UNISCIDP66-11RICARDO.pdf>
6. United States Naval Institute. The strategic relevance of Kaliningrad [Internet]. 2024 Oct [cited 2025 Apr 7]. Available from: <https://www.usni.org/magazines/proceedings/2024/october/strategic-relevance-kaliningrad>
7. Tatar D. NATO enhanced forward presence in the Baltics: the nexus between the host and the ally [Internet]. Security and Defence Quarterly; [cited 2025 Apr 7]. Available from: <https://securityanddefence.pl/NATO-enhanced-forward-presence-in-the-Baltics-The-nexus-between-the-host-and-the,193734,0,2.html>
8. LSE IDEAS. Russian diaspora in the Baltic States [Internet]. [cited 2025 Apr 7]. Available from: <https://www.lse.ac.uk/ideas/Assets/Documents/updates/LSE-IDEAS-Russian-Diaspora-Baltic-States.pdf>
9. Danish Ministry of Defence. NATO Forward Presence [Internet]. [cited 2025 Apr 7]. Available from: <https://www.fmn.dk/en/topics/operations/ongoing-operations/fremskudt-tilstedevarelse/>
10. Chatham House. EU's continued dependency on Russian gas [Internet]. 2024 Jun [cited 2025 Apr 7]. Available from: <https://www.chathamhouse.org/2024/06/eus-continued-dependency-russian-gas-could-jeopardize-its-foreign-policy-goals>
11. Stiftung Wissenschaft und Politik (SWP). Strategic overview of the Baltic [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2025 Apr 7]. Available from: <https://www.swp-berlin.org/10.18449/2023C09/>
12. Sovacool B.K., *et al.* Energy security and geopolitics in the Baltic Sea region. Energy Policy [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2025 Apr 7]; 145: 111703. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0301421520304201>
13. Indian Council of World Affairs. Geopolitical issues in Northern Europe [Internet]. [cited 2025 Apr 7]. Available from: https://www.icwa.in/show_content.php?lang=1&level=1&ls_id=7785&lid=5192
14. EWEA. The economics of wind energy [Internet]. European Wind Energy Association; [cited 2025 Apr 7]. Available from: https://www.ewea.org/fileadmin/files/library/publications/reports/Economics_of_Wind_Energy.pdf
15. European Parliament. EU energy security and Baltic region [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2025 Apr 7]. Available from: [https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2021/690705/EPRS_BRI\(2021\)690705_EN.pdf](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2021/690705/EPRS_BRI(2021)690705_EN.pdf)
16. CNA. Kaliningrad: Impregnable fortress or Russian Alamo? [Internet]. 2023 May [cited 2025 Apr 7]. Available from: <https://www.cna.org/our-media/indepth/2023/05/kaliningrad-impregnable-fortress-or-russian-alamo>
17. HELCOM. Baltic Sea environment and governance [Internet]. [cited 2025 Apr 7]. Available from: <https://helcom.fi/>
18. HELCOM. Sustainable resource management for a healthy Baltic Sea [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2025 Apr 7]. Available from: <https://helcom.fi/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/Sustainable-resource-management-for-a-healthy-Baltic-Sea.pdf>
19. Laderman C. Russia's nuclear posturing in Belarus. International Affairs [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2025 Apr 7];100(2):531-545. Available from: <https://www.oxfordjournals.org/doi/10.1093/ia/iaaa000>

- from: <https://academic.oup.com/ia/article/100/2/531/7617211>
20. Foreign Policy. Russia's nuclear weapons in Belarus [Internet]. 2024 Mar 14 [cited 2025 Apr 7]. Available from: <https://foreignpolicy.com/2024/03/14/russia-nuclear-weapons-belarus-putin/>
 21. HELCOM. Regional assessment: Challenges to Baltic ecosystem [Internet]. [cited 2025 Apr 7]. Available from: <https://helcom.fi/media-release-regional-assessment-highlights-ongoing-challenges-for-the-baltic-sea-ecosystem/>
 22. European Parliament. Baltic geopolitics and energy security [Internet]. 2025 [cited 2025 Apr 7]. Available from: [https://www.europarl.europa.eu/thinktank/en/document/EPRS_BRI\(2025\)767190](https://www.europarl.europa.eu/thinktank/en/document/EPRS_BRI(2025)767190)
 23. European Commission. Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) [Internet]. [cited 2025 Apr 7]. Available from: https://oceans-and-fisheries.ec.europa.eu/policy/common-fisheries-policy-cfp_en
 24. Hilmi N, *et al.* The future of marine biodiversity and geopolitics in the Baltic Sea. *Mar Policy* [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2025 Apr 7]; 152: 105834. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0308597X23002452>
 25. Congressional Research Service. Russian military posture in the Baltic [Internet]. [cited 2025 Apr 7]. Available from: <https://www.congress.gov/crs-product/R46139>
 26. European Commission. EU Marine Strategy Framework Directive [Internet]. [cited 2025 Apr 7]. Available from: https://research-and-innovation.ec.europa.eu/research-area/environment/oceans-and-seas/eu-marine-strategy-framework-directive_en
 27. European Commission. Baltic Energy Market Interconnection Plan [Internet]. [cited 2025 Apr 7]. Available from: https://energy.ec.europa.eu/topics/infrastructure/high-level-groups/baltic-energy-market-interconnection-plan_en
 28. The Arctic Institute. Russia's Arctic military posture and the Baltic connection [Internet]. [cited 2025 Apr 7]. Available from: <https://www.thearcticinstitute.org/russias-arctic-military-posture-context-war-against-ukraine/>
 29. Council of the Baltic Sea States. About CBSS [Internet]. [cited 2025 Apr 7]. Available from: <https://cbss.org/>
 30. HELCOM. Helsinki Convention and Baltic governance [Internet]. [cited 2025 Apr 7]. Available from: <https://helcom.fi/about-us/convention/>
 31. Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe. OSCE homepage [Internet]. [cited 2025 Apr 7]. Available from: <https://www.osce.org/>