

## p53 and Oral Cancer: A Short Review

Karanam Badari Rao

### How to cite this article:

Karanam Badari Rao, p53 and Oral Cancer: A Short Review. Ind J Canc Educ Res 2024;12(2):100-101.

### Abstract

Oral squamous cell carcinoma, commonly referred to as oral cancer, is considered to develop as a result of multi-hit process that involves a number of aberrant genetic events. Determining which event leads to the eventual development of oral cancer can be of a great significance in its prevention and control. p53 a tumor suppressor gene, in its mutated form is believed to exert tumorigenic influences and has been a subject of intensive study over several years in order to understand its role in the process of carcinogenesis.

**Keywords:** Oral, Cancer, Squamous cell carcinoma, p53.

## INTRODUCTION

Cancer is one of the leading causes of death all over the world with its relative position varying in terms of age, sex, and geographic location.<sup>1</sup> Globally, oral cancer is the sixth most common cause of cancer related deaths.<sup>2</sup> In India, oral cancer is one of the five leading types of cancer in either sex and comprises about 40% of all the cancers.<sup>3</sup> Over 80% of cancers of the oral cavity are squamous cell carcinomas of the lining mucosa and it is for this reason the term oral cancer has become synonymous with oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC).<sup>1</sup> OSCC has a relatively unfavorable prognosis and the growing increase in its incidence also accentuates the mortality. The overall five year survival rate of OSCC, which has virtually remained unchanged over the past many years, is around 35-50%.<sup>2</sup>

### Potentially Malignant Lesions

Oral epithelial dysplasias are considered potentially malignant lesions as they have a high probability of developing into squamous cell carcinomas. Oral epithelial dysplasias are classified as mild, moderate, or severe depending on the extent of dysplastic changes. The percentage of these lesions that progress to OSCC is accepted to be directly proportional to the severity of the dysplastic changes.<sup>4</sup> Although the figures are variable and generally range between 0.3% and 17.5%; a consensus range has been regarded to be 3-6%.<sup>5</sup> Furthermore, transformation into OSCC in moderate or severe dysplasias appears to be at least double than in mild dysplasia or hyperplasia.<sup>6</sup>

### Genetic Progression Model of Oral Cancer

The pioneering work by Fearon and Vogelstein characterizing the genetic alterations in colorectal

Author's Affiliation: Professor & HOD, Department of Oral Pathology, Vananchal Dental College & Hospital, Farathiya, Garhwa 822 114, Jharkhand, India

Corresponding Author: Karanam Badari Rao, Professor & HOD, Department of Oral Pathology, Vananchal Dental College & Hospital, Farathiya, Garhwa 822 114, Jharkhand, India.

E-mail: [dr.badarirao@gmail.com](mailto:dr.badarirao@gmail.com)

Received on: 05-08-2024 Accepted on: 05-10-2024



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0.

cancers has become a paradigm for other neoplasms.<sup>7</sup> OSCC is one of the few cancer types which is easily accessible to obtain biopsies at all stages of cancer progression. Consequently, it is possible to define a genetic progression model of this disease. The frequency of genomic alterations in tumor and histopathologically defined precursor lesions has formed the basis for the description of the first genetic progression model for OSCC by Califano *et al.*<sup>8</sup> This has led to the belief that OSCC may follow a progression pattern preceded by lesions exhibiting dysplasia.<sup>9</sup>

### p53

Many surrogate markers have been used in the past to identify those lesions that can eventually convert to OSCC. The most frequently involved and the best studied biomarker p53 is a tumor suppression gene that participates in cell proliferation control and plays a role in deletion of cells with DNA damage by induction of apoptosis.<sup>10</sup> Aberrant p53 expression is considered one of the most common genetic events in OSCC.<sup>11</sup>

The p53 gene plays a tumor suppressor role in its normal state (wild-type), but in its mutated form it is believed to exert tumor initiating and promoting influences, largely through sequence-specific DNA binding and transcriptional regulation.<sup>12</sup> This gene, which mediates its function through its protein product has been the subject of study in several oral sites and a spectrum of oral lesions in order to help understand the process of carcinogenesis, to evaluate potential risk and as a prognostic marker.<sup>6,13</sup> Immunohistochemical studies of p53 rely on the principle that the majority of changes in p53 gene are missense mutations, which result in conformational change and stabilization of the transformed protein.<sup>14</sup> The stabilized protein can then be detected by immunohistochemistry in tissue sections, in contrast to the wild-type which has a short half-life and cannot be readily detected.<sup>14,15</sup> The advantage of immunohistochemical staining is the direct demonstration of the spatial relationship of cells that have altered protein expression, which is of particular importance in the study of clonal expansion of altered cell populations during multi-step carcinogenesis.<sup>15</sup>

### CONCLUSION

Development of oral cancer, considered a multi-hit process, involves a number of aberrant genetic events. A minimum of five events in humans are required to transform a normal cell into a cancer

cell.<sup>11</sup> Determining which event leads to malignant transformation can be of particular significance in the prevention of oral cancer.

### REFERENCES

1. Johnson NW. Global Epidemiology. In: Shah JP, Johnson NW, Batsakis JG, editors. Oral Cancer. Martin Dunitz, UK 2003; First ed: 3-32.
2. Moore SR, Johnson NW, Pierce AM, Wilson DF. The epidemiology of mouth cancer: A review of global incidence. Oral Diseases 2000; 6: 65-74.
3. Teni T, Pawar S, Sanghvi V, Saranath D. Expression of bcl-2 and bax in chewing tobacco-induced oral cancers and oral lesions from India. Pathol Oncology Res. 2002; 8: 109-14.
4. Lim SC, Zhang S, Ishii G, Endoh Y, Kodama K *et al.* Predictive markers for late cervical metastasis in stage I and II invasive squamous cell carcinoma of the oral tongue. Clin Cancer Res. 2004; 10: 166-72.
5. Johnson NW, Van der Waal I, Axell T. Oral leukoplakia. Oral Diseases 1997; 3: 47-8.
6. Scully C, Sudbo J, Speight PM. Progress in determining the malignant potential of oral lesions. J Oral Pathol Med. 2003; 32: 251-6.
7. Fearon ER, Vogelstein B. A genetic model for colorectal tumorigenesis. Cell 1990; 6: 759-67.
8. Califano J, Van der Riet P, Westra W, Nawroz H, Clayman G *et al.* Genetic progression model for head and neck cancer: Implications for field cancerization. Cancer Res. 1996; 56: 2488-92.
9. Nagpal JK, Das BR. Oral cancer: Reviewing the present understanding of its molecular mechanism and exploring the future directions for its effective management. Oral Oncology 2003; 39: 213-21.
10. Macluskey M, Chandrachud LM, Pazouki S, Green M, Chisholm DM *et al.* Apoptosis, proliferation and angiogenesis in oral tissues: Possible relevance to tumor progression. J Pathol. 2000; 191: 368-75.
11. Braakhuis BJM, Leemans CR, Brakenhoff RH. A genetic progression model of oral cancer: Current evidence and clinical implications. J Oral Pathol Med. 2004; 33: 317-22.
12. Murti PR, Warnakulasuriya KAAS, Johnson NW, Bhonsle RB, Gupta PC *et al.* p53 expression in oral precancer as a marker for malignant potential. J Oral Pathol Med. 1998; 27: 191-6.
13. Pande P, Soni S, Kaur J, Agarwal S, Mathur M *et al.* Prognostic factors in betel and tobacco-related oral cancer. Oral Oncology 2002; 38: 491-9.
14. Kushner J, Bradley G, Jordan RCK. Patterns of p53 and Ki-67 protein expression in epithelial dysplasia from the floor of mouth. J Pathol. 1997; 183: 418-23.
15. Piffko J, Bankfalvi A, Ofner D, Joos U, Bocker W, Schmid KW. Immunohistochemical detection of p53 protein in archival tissues from squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity using wet autoclave antigen retrieval. J Pathol. 1995; 176: 69-75.