

Utilization of Decorticated Finger Millet (*Ragi*) for Production of Nutrient Rich Vermicelli

Lande S.B.*, Thorat S.S.**, Kulthe A.A.***

Abstract

In present investigation attempts have been made to develop nutrient rich vermicelli by addition of wheat and decorticated *ragi* flour in different proportions (90:10 80:20, 70:30, 60:40 and 50:50) for optimization of ratio for production of better quality vermicelli. It was observed that among all the formulations tried, vermicelli sample prepared with 60:40 (wheat: *ragi* flour) combination had similar sensory score as that of control. Higher values of protein, fibre and minerals like calcium, iron and phosphorous than the control sample were reported in vermicelli samples incorporated with 40 % of decorticated *ragi* flour. This nutrient rich vermicelli was good source of minerals to the consumers.

Keywords: Finger Millet; Decortication; Vermicelli; Sensory Properties.

Introduction

Wheat is consumed in various forms by millions of human being in the world. Approximately 85 to 90% wheat is consumed as staple food in the form of flat unleavened bread called *chapattis*, *parathas*, *roti*, *nan*, *phulke* depending on the method of baking. Wheat is major ingredient in bakery and pasta products due to presence of gluten and its property to form dough and retain gases. It is commercially utilized by large and small scale industries for the production of leavened products such as cake, biscuits, cookies, bread etc. *Triticum durum* is hard and has high protein content and mainly used for production of semolina, macaroni, and pasta products (Adsule and Kadam, 1986). Wheat is rich in manganese, phosphorus, magnesium, and selenium. It is also a good source of zinc, copper, iron, and potassium. It is rich in vitamin B₆, niacin, thiamin, riboflavin, and pantothenic acid. Vitamin E and K are also present in smaller, but still significant amounts. The nutritional value of wheat is extremely important as it takes an important place among the few crop species being extensively grown

as staple food sources.

Finger millet is commonly known as *ragi* (*Eleusine coracana*). It is also known as African millet and Black millet. India is one of the leading countries with respect to production and utilization of *ragi*. It is extensively grown in Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Maharashtra and Gujarat. Finger millet needs a fairly high rainfall, but will tolerate poor soil.

Finger millet is especially valuable as it contains the amino acid methionine, which is lacking in the diets of hundreds of millions of the poor who live on starchy staples such as cassava, plantain, polished rice or maize meal. The finger millet proteins are rich in two of essential amino acids (methionine and tryptophan) and substantial amounts of the essential amino acids, except lysine (Malleshi and Klopfenstein, 1998; Fernandez *et al.*, 2003).

Finger millet is a good source of iron and calcium which especially relevant to populations inhabiting northern Nigeria where the high incidences of prevalence of iron deficiency anemia in pregnant women (VanderJagt *et al.* 2007) and calcium deficiency rickets in young children (Thacher *et al.*, 2000; VanderJagt *et al.* 2001). Finger millet is popular food among diabetic patient in the country. Decortication of finger millet decreases the total mineral contents, but increases the bio-accessibility of calcium, iron and zinc by 15, 26, and 24 g/100g respectively (Krishnan *et al.*, 2012). It significantly decreases total phytic acid,

Author's Affiliation: *Senior Research Assistant, **Head, ***Ph. Student, Department of Food Science and Technology, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri - 413722, India.

Corresponding Author: Lande S.B., Senior Research Assistant, Department of Food Science and Technology, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri - 413722, India.
E-mail: sudhirlande@rediffmail.com

polyphenols, dietary fibre and the amount of tannins with corresponding increase in protein digestibility. Dehulling coupled with hydrothermal treatment affect the phenolic content and antioxidant potential of millet grains (Jaybhaye *et al.*, 2014).

Vermicelli (little worms) is a type of *pasta*, round in shape and somewhat thinner than *spaghetti*. Pasta is the most suitable snack food for satisfying nutritional requirements and safeguarding health (Costantini, 1985). Vermicelli is a popular instant food product which falls under the category of extruded product and is made from wheat flour. It is snack food item rich in proteins and liked by people from all walks of life, irrespective of age. With changing lifestyle greater awareness about health preference for instant food items like vermicelli become very popular and presently it is an item of mass consumption.

Materials and Methods

Wheat grains of *Godawari* (NIDW-295) variety and finger millet grains of *phule nachni* variety were procured from research stations of MPKV, Rahuri and used for preparation of vermicelli.

Preparation of Wheat Suji

Wheat grains were cleaned and subjected to tempering and conditioning treatment. Water was added to wheat sample to increase moisture by 3 % and conditioned over night. The conditioned grains were milled to obtain *suji* using laboratory flour mill.

Decorticated Ragi Flour

The decortication of *ragi*, was carried out with slight modification in the procedure suggested by standardized process as per the recommendation of Department of Food Science and Technology (Anon, 2012). Cleaned grains were soaked in water for 6 h and then steamed in a pressure cooker for 6 min followed by drying in dryer at 60°C for 5 h. The grains were conditioned using water @ 2% tempered for 1 h and dried in shade for 2 h and then decorticated in Brabender flour mill. This treatment resulted in production of white *ragi* flour.

Preparation of Vermicelli

The wheat *suji* and decorticated finger millet flour was mixed in different levels such as 100:0 (T₀), 90:10 (T₁), 80:20 (T₂), 70:30 (T₃), 60:40 (T₄), and 50:50 (T₅). The pre decided blends of wheat *suji* and *ragi* flour

were mixed with salt (2%) and required amount of water was added to prepare dough of desirable consistency by kneading the dough properly.

Physico-Chemical Analysis

The wheat *suji*, decorticated *ragi* flour and vermicelli were subjected to proximate analysis such as moisture, protein, fat, crude fibre, ash, iron, calcium and phosphorous content using standard procedures given by Rangana (1986)

Sensory Evaluation

The vermicelli samples were evaluated for different sensory attributes by panel of 10 semi-trained judges, using a 9 point hedonic scale (Amerine *et al.*, 1965)

Statistical Analysis

The data obtained was analyzed statistically to determine statistical significance of treatments by using Completely Randomized Design (CRD) stated by Panse and Sukhatme (1967).

Results and Discussion

Chemical Composition of Wheat Suji Flour

The moisture content of wheat variety *Godawari* (NIDW-295) *suji* was 12.95% (Table 1). The values for moisture content of wheat flour ranged from 9 to 18% (Kent Jones and Amos, 1967), 10.3 to 13.8% (Nannor, 1992) and 10.71 to 13.80% (Supekar *et al.*, 2005). The value of carbohydrate content obtained in present investigation (72.22%) was in agreement with the result obtained by Agarwal (1963). The result indicated that protein content of wheat *suji* was 12.60 %. The mean values for protein content for wheat cultivars reported by earlier researchers were 9.52 to 13.04% (Austin and Nair, 1964; Aalami *et al.*, 2007). The values for fat content are in good agreement with Khan *et al.* (1987) who reported crude fat in the range of 0.88 to 2.93% in different wheat varieties. The crude fibre content was 0.38%, which is in good agreement with the result obtained by Desai *et al.* (2010); Kulkarni *et al.* (2012) and Singh *et al.* (2005). The ash content of wheat is chiefly composed of minerals like phosphorus, calcium, iron and potassium. The ash content of wheat *suji* was found to be 0.95%. The ash content of whole wheat flour ranged from 0.82 to 2.50% (Adsule and Kadam, 1986). Aalami *et al.* (2007) reported that ash content of wheat semolina of different durum varieties varied from 0.79 to 0.86%.

The iron content was (2.13% mg) and the values for calcium content (18.56% mg) obtained were in agreement with the result recorded by Desai *et al.* (2010); Kulkarni *et al.* (2012) and Singh *et al.* (2005).

The wet and dry gluten recorded were 39.40% and 13.80 % respectively. The wet gluten content of Russian durum wheat was in the range of 24.1 to 43.5% (Mustafar and Guseinov, 1973) and in Indian durum wheat it ranged from 35.3 to 52% (Rao *et al.*, 1976). The recoveries of wet and dry gluten ranged from 22.2 to 39.2% and 8 to 12.2% respectively (Adsule *et al.*, 1985). Pharande *et al.* (1988 b) reported the values of wet and dry gluten as 35.2% and 11.9% for N-8223, 30.0% and 10.3% for NI-5439 and 33.4% and 11.0% for N-59 cultivars of wheat respectively. Aalami *et al.* (2007) reported that wet gluten content of wheat semolina of different durum varieties varied from 25.2 to 34.4%. The sedimentation value is based on the fact that gluten protein absorbs water and swells completely when treated with lactic acid. The sedimentation value of NIDW-295 was found to be 31 ml. Austin and Nair (1964) reported that the sedimentation values for Indian and foreign wheat cultivars in the range of 52 to 63 ml and 54 to 63 ml respectively. The sedimentation values reported were 15.8 and 14.5 ml for DWL-5023 and PBW-34 cultivars respectively (Singh and Paliwal, 1986). However, Ram *et al.* (2001) reported the sedimentation values of wheat, which ranged from 35 to 37 ml for 13 wheat lines from Durgapur and 29 to 58 ml for 13 wheat lines from Pantnagar. Pinckney *et al.* (1957) classified wheat flours on the basis of sedimentation value into four groups as 1st (60 and over), 2nd (40 to 59), 3rd (20 to 39) and 4th (less than 20). They reported that 3rd group wheat flour was suitable for the production of "all purpose" flour.

Chemical Composition of Finger Millet

It was found that plain and malted finger millet variety *phule nachani* flours contained 13.57 and 11.43 mg/100 g irons respectively (Table 2). The iron content of finger millet ranged from 3.3 to 14.8 mg/100g (Babu *et al.*, 1987). Singh and Shrivastav (2006) reported that the iron content of 16 finger millet varieties ranged from 3.61 to 5.42 mg/100g. The calcium content was 335.40 and 286.45 mg/100g for plain and decorticated finger millet flours respectively. The finger millet contained calcium in the range of 162 to 487 mg/100g with mean 320.8 mg/100g (Vadivoo *et al.*, 1998), 329 mg/100g in white variety and 296 mg/100g in brown (Seetharam, 2001). Bhatt *et al.* (2003) reported 344 mg/100g calcium content in finger millet flour. The phosphorus content was 238.33 and 217.67 mg/

100g for plain and decorticated finger millet flour respectively. Kurien *et al.* (1959) reported reduction in some of the nutrients in decorticated finger millet flour which could be due to separation of seed coat. They reported that the seed coat contained 14 % phosphorus. Shobana and Malleshi (2007) also recorded 48% reduction of phosphorus content in decorticated finger millet flour.

Nutritional Composition of Vermicelli

It was observed that with increased amount of processed finger millet flour upto 50 per cent there was increase in carbohydrate content of vermicelli from 76.93 to 80.21% (Table 3). It was observed that there was positive relation of carbohydrate content and inverse relation of protein content with levels of decorticated processed finger millet flour. The decrease in protein content from 11.97 to 8.73% in vermicelli was observed with increase in *ragi* flour. The decrease in protein content of vermicelli might be due to the lower protein content in decorticated finger millet flour compared to wheat. The mean increase in fat content from 0.86 to 0.89% was observed with increase in *ragi* flour in wheat flour from 0 to 50% and also ash content from 2.80 to 2.97%. It was observed that there was positive relation of ash content and levels of decorticated finger millet flour. Shukla and Srivastav (2014) recorded carbohydrates (78.54%), proteins (6.7%), crude fat (1.15%) and crude fibres (1.28%) in noodles incorporated with 50 per cent finger millet flour. They reported increase in carbohydrates, fats and fibres while decrease in protein content of noodles with increased level of finger millet up to 50%. Naik (2010) observed similar trend for proximate composition of vermicelli prepared from decorticated finger millet flour. The protein content gradually decreased from 16.3 to 11.3% while fibre content marginally increased from 17.4 to 19.4% with no significant difference in fat content for control and *ragi* incorporated vermicelli.

It was observed that with increased amount of decorticated finger millet flour upto 50 per cent resulted in increased iron content from 1.88 to 6.53mg/100g in vermicelli. The mean increase in the calcium content was observed from 15.02 to 148.96 mg/100g with increased level of *ragi* flour with wheat flour. The increase in the phosphorus content was observed from 104.18 to 159.31 mg/100g with increased levels of decorticated finger millet flour. It was observed that there was direct relation of phosphorus content and levels of decorticated finger millet flour. Shukla and Srivastav (2014) recorded increased ash content (1.4%), iron (5.5 mg/100g) and

calcium (88.3 mg/100g) in noodles incorporated with 50% finger millet flour. Naik (2010) observed similar trend for mineral composition of vermicelli prepared from decorticated finger millet flour. The ash content gradually increased from 1.06 to 1.46% while fibre content marginally increased from 2.4 to 3.9 mg/100g for control and *ragi* incorporated vermicelli.

Sensory Evaluation of Vermicelli

The increased amount of decorticated finger millet flour upto 50 per cent, there was decrease in colour and appearance, texture, flavour, taste and overall acceptability which ranged from 8.75 to 7.50, 8.00 to 7.75, 8.00 to 6.75, 8.75 to 7.50, and 8.50 to 7.63

respectively (Table 4).

The vermicelli samples of (T₄ treatment 60:40) were more acceptable than other treatment and were comparable to control. Kulkarni *et al.* (2012) reported that noodles prepared with 30% finger millet flour and 70% wheat flour combination had same sensory score as that of control and also higher values of protein, fibre and minerals than the control sample. Eneche (1999) observed that biscuits prepared using 65% millet flour and 35% pigeon pea meal blend were highly acceptable in sense of flavour texture and general acceptability. Sahoo (2010) reported similar sensory score of cake samples prepared with wheat (malted) and finger millet flour (50:50).

Table 1: Proximate composition of wheat *suji* flour

Proximate composition	Wheat (NIDW-295)
Moisture (%)	12.95
Carbohydrate (%)	72.22
Protein (%)	12.60
Fat (%)	0.90
Crude fibre (%)	0.38
Ash (%)	0.95
Iron (mg/100 g)	2.13
Calcium (mg/100 g)	18.56
Phosphorus(mg/100 g)	107.67
Wet gluten (%)	39.40
Dry gluten (%)	13.80
Sedimentation value (ml)	31.00

Table 2: Proximate composition of plain and decorticated finger millet flour

Proximate composition	Ragi (PhuleNachani)	Decorticated Ragi (PhuleNachani)
Moisture (%)	10.50	9.88
Carbohydrate (%)	77.23	76.62
Protein (%)	6.33	6.12
Fat (%)	1.08	0.96
Crude fibre (%)	3.15	2.94
Ash (%)	1.91	1.25
Iron (mg/100 g)	13.57	11.43
Calcium (mg/100 g)	335.40	286.45
Phosphorus(mg/100 g)	238.33	217.67

Table 3: Nutritional composition of vermicelli prepared with blends of wheat and decorticated finger millet flour

Treatments	Carbohydrate (%)	Protein (%)	Fat (%)	Ash (%)	Crude fibre (%)	Iron (mg/100g)	Calcium (mg/100g)	Phosphorus (mg/100g)
T ₀	76.93	11.97	0.86	2.80	0.36	1.88	15.02	104.18
T ₁	77.61	11.32	0.86	2.83	0.61	2.82	41.80	115.17
T ₂	78.26	10.67	0.87	2.86	0.87	3.73	68.57	126.15
T ₃	78.90	10.03	0.88	2.90	1.13	4.67	95.38	137.21
T ₄	79.58	9.38	0.88	2.93	1.38	5.59	122.17	148.18
T ₅	80.21	8.73	0.89	2.97	1.64	6.53	148.96	159.31
Mean	78.58	10.35	0.88	2.88	1.00	4.20	81.98	131.70
SE ±	0.054	0.049	0.036	0.018	0.042	0.026	0.032	0.091
CD at 5 %	0.168	0.152	0.113	0.058	0.129	0.083	0.099	0.282

Table 4: Sensory evaluation of vermicelli prepared with different blends of wheat and decorticated finger millet flour

Treatment	Colour and appearance	Texture	Flavour	Taste	Overall acceptability
T ₀	8.75	8.00	8.00	8.75	8.50
T ₁	8.50	8.75	8.00	8.75	8.38
T ₂	8.25	8.50	7.50	8.50	8.25
T ₃	8.00	8.25	7.25	8.00	8.13
T ₄	7.75	8.00	7.00	7.75	7.75
T ₅	7.50	7.75	6.75	7.50	7.63
Mean	8.13	8.21	7.42	8.21	8.11
SE ±	0.215	0.225	0.228	0.176	0.160
CD at 5 %	0.662	0.694	0.703	0.544	0.495

Conclusion

The use of finger millet in vermicelli improved the nutritional status of vermicelli with respect to crude fibre, iron and calcium content by four, three and six fold respectively by addition of *ragi* flour upto 40% in wheat flour. These vermicelli were also more acceptable on the basis of sensory parameters.

References

1. Adsule, R. N. and Kadam S. S. Quality of wheat. In: Quality of Wheat and Wheat Products (Salunkhe, D. K., Kadam, S. S. and Austin, A., eds.), Metropolitan Press, New Delhi. 1986; 1-6.
2. Adsule, R. N., Lawande, K. M., Dhorte, V. A. and Kadam, S. S. Quality characteristics of some wheat varieties. J. Mah. Agric. Univ. 1985; 10: 107-108.
3. Agarwal, N. S. Wheat characteristic in relation to utilization. Bull. Grain Technol. 1963; 1: 16-23.
4. Alami, M., Leelavathi, K. and Prasada Rao, U. J. S. Spaghetti making potential of Indian durum wheat varieties in relation to their protein, yellow pigment and enzyme contents. Food Chem. 2007; 100: 1243-1248.
5. Amerine, M. A., Pangborn, R. M. and Rossler, E. A. Principle of Sensory Evaluation of Food. Academic Press, London. 1965; pp350-376.
6. Anon. Joint Agrosco of Maharashtra Agricultural University held at Dr. Punjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola during 29-31 May 2012; pp 33-34.
7. Austin, A. and Nair, R. Comparative study of sedimentation value and protein content of some improved Indian and foreign wheat. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 1964; 24: 15-17.
8. Babu, B. V., Ramana, T., and Radhakrishna, T. M. Chemical composition and protein in hybrid varieties of finger millet. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 1987; 57(7): 520-522.
9. Bhatt, A., Singh V., Shrotia P. K., and Baskheti, D. C. Coarse grains of Uttaranchal: Ensuring sustainable food and nutritional security. Indian Farmer's Digest. 2003; 34-38.
10. Costantini, A. M. Nutritional and health significance of pasta in modern dietary patterns. In Mercierch & Cantarelli, C. (Eds.), Pasta and Extrusion Cooked Foods. London: Elsevier Applied Science Publisher. 1986. pp1-9.
11. Desai, A. D. Kulkarni, S. S., Sahu, A. K., Ranveer, R. C. and Dandge, P. B. Effect of supplementation of malted finger millet flour on the nutritional and sensorial characteristics of cake. Adv. J. Food Sci. Technol. 2010; 2(1): 67-71.
12. Eneche, E. H. Biscuit making potential of millet/pigeonpea flour blends. Plant Foods Human Nutri. 1999; 54: 21-27.
13. Fernandez, D. R., Vanderjagt, D. J., Millson, M., Huang, Y. S., Chung, L. T., Pastuszyn, A. and Glew, R. H. Fatty acid, amino acid and trace mineral composition of *Eleusine coracana* (Pwana) Seeds from northern Nigeria. Plant Foods for Human Nutr. 2003; 58: 1-10.
14. Jaybhaye, R. V., Pardeshi, I. L., Vengaiah, P. C. and Srivastav, P. P. Processing and technology for millet based food products: a review. J. RT E Food. 2014; 1(2): 32-48.
15. Kent Jones, D. W. and Amos, A. J. Composition of wheat and product milling. In: Modern Cereal Chemistry. Sixth Edition, Food Trade Press Limited, London. 1967; 1-38.
16. Khan, M. A., Rashid, J. and EI-Haramein, F. J. Technological values of some Pakistani wheat varieties. Pak. J. Agric. Res. 1987; 8(2): 121-124.
17. Krishnan, R., Dharmaraj, U. and Malleshi, N. G. Influence of decortications, popping and malting on bioaccessibility of calcium, iron and zinc in finger millet. LWT- Food Sci. Technol. 2012; 48: 169-174.
18. Kulkarni, S. S., Desai, A. D., Ranveer, R. and Sahoo, A. K. Development of nutrient rich noodles by supplementation with malted Ragi flour. International Food Research J. 2012; 19(1): 309-313.
19. Kurien, P. P., Joseph, K., Swaminathan, M., and Subrahmanyam, V. The distribution of nitrogen, calcium and phosphorous between the husk and endosperm of *ragi*. Food Sci. (Mysore), 1959; 8: 355-

- 355.
20. Malleshi, N. G. and Klopfenstein, C. F. Nutrient composition and amino acid content of malted sorghum; pearl millet and finger millet and their milling fractions. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* 1998; 35: 247-249.
 21. Mustafar, I. D. and Guseinov, A. G. *Vestnik Sel's knkhozyaistvennoi, Nauki, Moscow, USSR.* 1973; 12-16.
 22. Naik, R. Processing of finger millet (*Elusine coracana*) and its utilization in the preparation of vermicelli. An M.Sc. (Biotech.) Thesis, Manglore University, Mangalagangothri, Dist. Manglore, Karnataka, India. 2010.
 23. Nanoor, B. S. Studies on nutritional quality of some wheat cultivars. An M. Sc.(Agri.) Thesis, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Distt. Ahmednagar, Maharashtra, India. 1992.
 24. Panse, V. S. and Sukhatme, P. V. *Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers*, ICAR, New Delhi. 1967; pp70-72.
 25. Pharande, A. L., Dhotre, V. A., Lawande, K. M. and Adsule, R. N. Evaluation of quality of some promising wheat varieties. *J. Mah. Agric. Univ.* 1988b; 13: 133-134.
 26. Pinckney, A. J., Greenaway, W. T. and Zeleny, L. Further development in the sedimentation test of wheat quality. *Cereal Chem.* 1957; 34: 16-25.
 27. Ranganna S. *Handbook of Analysis and Quality Control for Fruits and Vegetable Product*. 2nd Ed. Tata McGrahill Publications. 1986; pp123-127.
 28. Rao, P. H., Rahim, A., Prabhavati, C. and Shurpalekar, S. R. Physico-chemical, rheological and milling characteristics of Indian durum wheat. *J. Food Sci. Technol.*, 1976; 13: 317-322.
 29. Sahoo, A. K. Effect of supplementation of malted finger millet flour on the nutritional and sensorial quality characteristic of cake. *Adv. J. Food Sci. Technol.* 2010; 2: 67-71.
 30. Seetharam, A. Annual report 2000-01. All India Co-ordinated Small Millet Improvement Project, Bangalore. 2001; 1-28.
 31. Shobana, S. and Malleshi, N. G. Preparation and functional properties of decorticated millet. *J. Food Engg.* 2007; 79(2): 529-538.
 32. Shukala, K. and Srivastava, S. Evaluation of finger millet incorporated noodles for nutritive value and glycemic index. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* 2014; 51(3): 527-534.
 33. Singh, G. and Paliwal, S. C. Physico-chemical, milling and bread making quality of two varieties of durum wheat. *Bull. Grain Technol.* 1986; 24: 73-76.
 34. Singh, P. and Srivastava, S. Nutritional composition of sixteen new varieties of finger millet. *J. Community Mobilization Sustainable Dev.* 2006; 1(2): 81-84.
 35. Singh, P., Singh, G., Srivastava, S. and Agarwal, P. Physiochemical characteristics of wheat and finger millet blends. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* 2005; 42: 340-343.
 36. Supekar, D. T., Patil, S. R., and Munjal, S. V. Comparative study of some important *aestivum*, *durum* and *dicoccum* wheat cultivars for grain quality, flour quality and suitability for chapatti making characteristics. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* 2005; 42(6): 488-492.
 37. Thacher, T. D., Fischer, P. R., Pettifor, J. M., Lawson, J. O., Isichel, C. O. and Chan, G. M. Case-control study of factors associated with nutritional rickets in Nigerian children. *J. Pediatrics.* 2000; 137: 367-373.
 38. Vadivoo, A. S., Joseph, R, and Garesan, N. M. Genetic variability and calcium content in finger millet (*Eluesina coracana* L. gaertin) in relation to grain colour. *Plant Foods Hum. Nutr.* 1998; 52(4): 353-364.
 39. Vanderjagt, D. J., Brock, H. S., Melah, G. S., El-Nafaty, A. U., Crossy, M. J. and Glew, R. H. Nutritional factors associated with anemia in pregnant women in northern Nigeria. *J. Health, Population and Nutr.* 2007; 25: 75-81.
 40. Vanderjagt, D. J., Morales, M., Thacher, T. D., Diaz, M. and Glew, R. H. Bioelectrical impedance analysis of the body composition of Nigerian children with calcium deficiency rickets. *J. Tropical Pediatrics.* 2001; 47: 92-97.

